Appendix 7 Exhibit 3 – Mark Confidential

Pursuant to $\S\S\S$ 4PACS 1206 (f) (1); 1312A (b) (12); 1312A (c) this appendix is marked confidential.



Pursuant to § 1312A (b) (7), Presque Isle Downs is submitting the following information and documentation to establish by clear and convincing evidence that it has the financial stability, integrity and responsibility to warrant the Board authorization to conduct game operations and the issuance of a Table Games Certificate.

Presque Isle Downs is a wholly owned subsidiary of MTR Gaming Group, Inc. and as such is submitting the following documents for your review:

- 1) MTR Gaming Group, Inc. 10Q for 3Q 2009 SEC filing is attached (Appendix 7 Exhibit 1)
- 2) MTR Gaming Group Inc. 10K 2008 Audited Financial Statements is attached for your review (Appendix 7 Exhibit 2). MTR Gaming Group, Inc. is currently preparing it 10K for 2009 and it will be submitted to the Board upon completion in mid-March 2010.
- 3) Presque Isle Downs, Inc. Audited Financial Statements for 2008. Presque Isle Downs will submit the 2009 audited financial statements to the Board upon completion.

Additional financial documents are available on the MTR Gaming Group, Inc. website at www.mtrgaming.com or through the SEC's EDGAR reporting websites.

Appendix 7

Exhibit 1

10-Q 1 a2195375z10-q.htm 10-Q

Use these links to rapidly review the document MTR GAMING GROUP, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NO.: 000-20508



MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

84-1103135 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

STATE ROUTE 2 SOUTH, P.O. BOX 356, CHESTER, WEST VIRGINIA 26034 (Address of principal executive offices)

(304) 387-8000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☑ No □
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\S 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \square No \square
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☑ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes □ No ⊠
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.
COMMON STOCK, \$.00001 PAR VALUE Class 27,475,260
Outstanding at November 9, 2009



Pursuant to § 1312A (b) (7), Presque Isle Downs is submitting the following information and documentation to establish by clear and convincing evidence that it has the financial stability, integrity and responsibility to warrant the Board authorization to conduct game operations and the issuance of a Table Games Certificate.

Presque Isle Downs is a wholly owned subsidiary of MTR Gaming Group, Inc. and as such is submitting the following documents for your review:

- 1) MTR Gaming Group, Inc. 10Q for 3Q 2009 SEC filing is attached (Appendix 7 Exhibit 1)
- 2) MTR Gaming Group Inc. 10K 2008 Audited Financial Statements is attached for your review (Appendix 7 Exhibit 2). MTR Gaming Group, Inc. is currently preparing it 10K for 2009 and it will be submitted to the Board upon completion in mid-March 2010.
- 3) Presque Isle Downs, Inc. Audited Financial Statements for 2008. Presque Isle Downs will submit the 2009 audited financial statements to the Board upon completion.

Additional financial documents are available on the MTR Gaming Group, Inc. website at www.mtrgaming.com or through the SEC's EDGAR reporting websites.

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>3</u>
Item 1—Financial Statements	<u>3</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	<u>3</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	<u>4</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	<u>5</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
Item 2—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>21</u>
Item 3—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>46</u>
Item 4—Controls and Procedures	<u>46</u>
PART II—OTHER INFORMATION	<u>47</u>
Item 1—Legal Proceedings	<u>47</u>
Item 1ARisk Factors	<u>47</u>
Item 2—Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>51</u>
Item 3—Defaults upon Senior Securities	<u>51</u>
Item 4—Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders	<u>52</u>
Item 5—Other Information	<u>52</u>
Item 6—Exhibits	<u>52</u>
SIGNATURE PAGE	<u>54</u>
EXHIBIT INDEX	<u>55</u>
CERTIFIC ATIONS	

2

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(dollars in thousands)

SEPTI	2009		MBER 31 2008
- (un:	udited)		
ASSETS			
Current assets:	25.005	•	20.011
Cash and cash equivalents \$	35,895	\$	29,011
Restricted cash	373		929
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$302 in 2009 and \$125 in 2008	3,713		7,717
Accounts receivable—West Virginia Lottery Commission	1,210		7,717
Inventories	3,929		4,445
Deferred financing costs	4,027		4,444
Prepaid income taxes	1,702		7,059
Deferred income taxes	346		1,397
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,578		4,528
Assets held for deferred compensation			11,529
Assets of discontinued operations			36
Total current assets	56,773		71,095
Property and equipment, net	356,054		367,769
Goodwill	1,985		1,985
Other intangibles	68,923		68,819
Deferred financing costs, net of current portion	11,036		2,499
Deposits and other	14,638		14,815
Assets of discontinued operations	234		728
Total assets \$	509,643	\$	527,710
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:	4.050	•	6.060
Accounts payable \$	4,953	\$	6,869 6,848
Accounts payable—gaming taxes and assessments Accrued payroll and payroll taxes	4,549 3.433		3,220
Accrued interest	8,693		4,932
Other accrued liabilities	11,404		14,486
Construction project and equipment liabilities	2,852		1,048
Deferred compensation			11,547
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	8,685		20,498
Liabilities of discontinued operations	414		1,240
Total current liabilities	44,983		70,688
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	365,628		357,112
Long-term deferred compensation	339		663
Deferred income taxes	1,324		3,644
Total liabilities	412,274		432,107
Shareholders' equity: Common stock			
Additional paid-in capital	61,859		61,774
Retained earnings	35,694		34,013
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(386)		(386)
Total shareholders' equity of MTR Gaming Group, Inc.	97,167		95,401
Non-controlling interest of discontinued operations	202		202
Total shareholders' equity	97,369		95,603
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	509,643	\$	527,710

3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30			NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30					
		2009		2008		2009		2008	
Revenues: Gaming Pari-mutuel commissions Food, beverage and lodging Other	\$	106,408 4,574 9,181 2,285	\$	114,135 5,151 10,648 2,995	\$	315,904 10,665 25,284 6,550	\$	327,737 11,900 28,610 7,343	
Total revenues Less promotional allowances		122,448 (2,970)		132,929 (2,145)		358,403 (8,093)		375,590 (6,076)	
Net revenues	_	119,478		130,784		350,310		369,514	
Operating expenses: Expenses of operating departments: Gaming Pari-mutuel commissions Food, beverage and lodging Other		66,078 4,160 6,323 1,698		71,427 4,672 7,942 2,612		197,459 10,280 18,210 4,894		207,717 11,498 22,666 6,888	
Marketing and promotions		5,689		4,322		16,637		12,423	
General and administrative		18,291		17,156		46,223		51,301	
Depreciation		7,443		7,396		22,031		22,394	
Loss on disposal of property		31		1		169		1	
Total operating expenses	_	109,713		115,528		315,903		334,888	
Operating income Other income (expense):		9,765		15,256	_	34,407	_	34,626	
Other Interest income Interest expense Loss on debt modification and		(39) 9 (11,849)		53 (10,216)		(39) 448 (31,729)			
extinguishment		(2,773)		_		(2,773)		(3,356)	
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income taxes Benefit (provision) for income taxes	_	(4,887) 2,779	•	5,093 (1,622)		314 (3)		575 (261)	
(Loss) income from continuing operations	. —	(2,108)	_	3,471		311	_	314	
Discontinued operations: Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes and non-controlling interest Benefit for income taxes	·	(292) 2,983		(12,567)		(2,294)		(15,143) 1,625	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before non-controlling interest Non-controlling interest		2,691 (6)		(11,726) 15		1,370		(13,518)	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	_	2,685	_	(11,711)		1,370		(13,488)	
			-				-		
Net income (loss) Net income (loss) per share—basic:	\$	577	\$	(8,240)	\$ 	1,681	\$	(13,174)	
(Loss) income from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	(0.08) 0.10	\$	0.13 (0.43)	\$	0.01 0.05	\$	0.01 (0.49)	
Net income (loss)	\$	0.02	\$	(0.30)	\$	0.06	\$	(0.48)	
Net income (loss) per share—diluted: (Loss) income from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	(0.08) 0.10	\$	0.13 (0.43)	\$	0.01 0.05	\$	0.01 (0.49)	
Net income (loss)	\$.	0.02	\$	(0.30)	\$	0.06	\$	(0.48)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding: Basic		27,475,260	no.	27,475,260	<u>-</u>	27,475,260		27,475,260	
	_		_		_		_		
Diluted		27,475,260		27,475,260	-	27,475,260	_	27,475,260	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30			
	_	2009	2008	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income (loss)	\$	1,681	\$(13,174)	
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash				
provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation		22,031	22,394	
Amortization of deferred financing fees		3,747	3,142	
Loss on debt modification and extinguishment		2,773	3,356	
Bad debt expense		279	22	
Stock-based compensation expense		85	1,193	
Deferred income taxes		(1,269)	(45)	
(Decrease) increase in long-term deferred compensation		(20)	803	
Loss on disposal of property		169	1	
Change in operating assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable		2,515	1,629	
Prepaid income taxes		5,357	(1,100)	
Other current assets		10,995	(360)	
Accounts payable		(1,916)	(806)	
Accrued liabilities		(11,454)	(571)	
Net cash provided by continuing operating activities		34,973	16,484	
Net cash (used in) provided by discontinued operating				
activities		(296)	9,609	
Net cash provided by operating activities		34,677	26,093	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash		556	(47)	
Decrease (increase) in deposits and other		73	(578)	
Proceeds from the sale of the Ramada Inn and Speedway				
Casino			28,049	
Proceeds from the sale of Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel			12,870	
Proceeds from disposal of other property		182		
Capital expenditures		(10,667)	(9,637)	
Net cash (used in) provided by continuing investing		-		
activities		(9,856)	30,657	
Net cash used in discontinued investing activities			(882)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	_	(9,856)	29,775	
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Proceeds from issuance of Senior Secured Notes		238,120		
Repurchase of Senior Unsecured Notes		(130,650)		
Repayment of senior secured revolving credit facility		(101,949)		
Principal payments on other long-term debt and capital				
lease obligations		(10,047)	(50,017)	
Financing cost paid		(13,411)	(3,026)	
Net cash used in continuing financing activities	_	(17,937)	(53,043)	
Net cash used in discontinued financing activities		——	(23)	
Net cash used in financing activities		(17,937)	(53,066)	
_	_			
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		6,884	2,802	

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	29,011	31,045
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 35,895	\$ 33,847
Cash paid during the year for: Interest paid	\$ 24,221	\$ 25,523
Income taxes refunded	\$ (7,752)	\$ (47)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1—BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of MTR Gaming Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries ("the Company") have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included herein. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009.

The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

The Company, through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, owns and operates Mountaineer Casino, Racetrack & Resort in Chester, West Virginia; Presque Isle Downs & Casino in Erie, Pennsylvania; and Scioto Downs in Columbus, Ohio. Scioto Downs, through its subsidiary RacelineBet, Inc., also operates Racelinebet.com, a national account wagering service that offers online and telephone wagering on horse races as a marketing affiliate of AmericaTab LTD.

Through May 27, 2009, our wholly-owned subsidiary, MTR-Harness, Inc., owned a 50% interest in North Metro Harness Initiative, LLC ("North Metro"), which operates Running Aces Harness Park in Anoka County, Minnesota. As discussed in Note 3, we relinquished our interest in North Metro to North Metro's lender pursuant to a settlement agreement with North Metro's lender executed on May 27, 2009. Reclassifications have been made to the prior period presentation to reflect the assets, liabilities, operating results and cash flows of MTR-Harness, Inc. and its interest in North Metro as discontinued operations.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Jackson Racing, Inc., we own a 90% interest in Jackson Trotting
Association LLC ("Jackson Trotting"), which operated Jackson Harness Raceway in Jackson, Michigan. On December 4,
2008, Jackson Trotting ceased the racing and simulcast wagering operations at Jackson Harness Raceway and surrendered its
racing license to the Michigan Racing Commission. Reclassifications have been made to the prior period presentation to
reflect the assets, liabilities, operating results and cash flows of Jackson Racing, Inc. and its interest in Jackson Trotting as
discontinued operations. See Note 4.

Through March 7, 2008 and June 3, 2008, we owned and operated Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel ("Binion's") in Las Vegas, Nevada, and the Ramada Inn and Speedway Casino ("Speedway") in North Las Vegas, Nevada, respectively. As discussed in Note 5, we sold Binion's on March 7, 2008, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement executed between the Company and TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc., and on June 3, 2008, we completed the sale of the Speedway pursuant to the terms of an Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement executed between the Company and Lucky Lucy D, LLC.

Certain other reclassifications have been made to the prior year's consolidated financial statement presentation to conform to the current presentation. These reclassifications did not affect our consolidated net income (loss) or cash flows.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1—BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

For further information, refer to our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

NOTE 2—NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Pronouncements Implemented

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") Accounting Standards CodificationTM (the "Codification") became the single source of authoritative US GAAP, other than guidance that is issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Codification did not create any new GAAP standards but incorporated existing accounting and reporting standards into a new topical structure with a new referencing system to identify authoritative accounting standards, replacing the prior references to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS"), Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF"), FASB Staff Position ("FSP"), etc. Authoritative standards included in the Codification are designated by their Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") topical reference, and new standards will be designated as Accounting Standards Updates ("ASU"), with a year and assigned sequence number. We began using the new referencing system in the third quarter of 2009 and references to prior standards have been updated. The adoption of the ASC did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (formerly SFAS No. 157), provides guidance for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and requires expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 indicates that fair value should be determined based on the assumptions marketplace participants would use in pricing the asset or liability and provides additional guidelines to consider in determining the market-based measurement. In February 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that delayed the effective date of fair measurement accounting for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at least annually, until January 1, 2009. We adopted ASC 820 on January 1, 2008 for financial assets and financial liabilities and on January 1, 2009 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities. The impact from the adoption of ASC 820 is reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

ASC 820 requires fair value measurement be classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted market prices for identical assets and liabilities.
- Level 2: Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for the asset or liability through corroboration with market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities (management's own assumptions about what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date).

The carrying value of our senior secured revolving credit facility at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 approximates fair value based on prevailing interest rates. The fair value of our

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 2—NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)

\$250 million 12.625% Senior Secured Notes (issued on August 12, 2009) was \$243.8 million at September 30, 2009, and the fair value of our \$130 million 9.75% Senior Unsecured Notes (repurchased on August 12, 2009) was \$97.0 million at December 31, 2008. The fair value of our \$125 million 9% Senior Subordinated Notes was \$94.7 million at September 30, 2009 and \$69.5 million at December 31, 2008. The fair value is determined based on Level 2 inputs including quoted market prices, bond terms and conditions.

Through May 1, 2009, we had a deferred compensation arrangement with a former executive that was structured as a rabbi trust. In accordance with the provisions of an employment agreement with the former executive, the deferred amounts that were held in the rabbi trust, including earnings on such amounts, were distributed to the former executive on May 1, 2009. The investments of the rabbi trust were valued using quoted market prices (Level 1 inputs). The fair value of the investments in the rabbi trust at the time of distribution and at December 31, 2008 was \$11.9 million and \$11.5 million, respectively.

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted an accounting standard that established accounting and reporting standards for entities that have equity investments that are not attributable directly to the parent, called non-controlling interests, as codified in ASC 810 Consolidation (formerly SFAS No. 160, Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51). Specifically, ASC 810 states where and how to report non-controlling interests in the consolidated statements of financial position and operations, how to account for changes in non-controlling interests, and provides disclosure requirements. The adoption of this accounting standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In accordance with a new accounting standard for subsequent events, as codified in ASC 855 (formerly SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*), we have evaluated and appropriately disclosed all subsequent events through November 9, 2009, the date the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009 were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

NOTE 3—NORTH METRO HARNESS INITIATIVE, LLC (d/b/a Running Aces Harness Park)

In June 2004, our wholly-owned subsidiary, MTR-Harness, Inc., acquired a 50% interest in North Metro Harness Initiative, LLC (d/b/a Running Aces Harness Park), then a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southwest Casino Corporation. In early 2008, North Metro completed construction of a harness racetrack and card room on a 178.4-acre site approximately 30 miles northeast of Minneapolis, Minnesota. Running Aces commenced live racing and simulcast operations (import and export) with pari-mutuel wagering on April 11, 2008, and opened a 50-table card room offering "non-banked" games (those in which the players play only against each other instead of against the house) on June 30, 2008.

The cost of construction of North Metro, including furniture, fixtures and equipment and start-up expenses, was approximately \$62.5 million, \$42.3 million of which was separately financed through Black Diamond Commercial Finance, LLC as agent (collectively, "Black Diamond"), without recourse to us except for a \$1.0 million guarantee that we provided in July 2008. The guarantee continued until the earlier of July 1, 2010 or prepayment of the Black Diamond credit agreement. Additionally, our

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 3—NORTH METRO HARNESS INITIATIVE, LLC (d/b/a Running Aces Harness Park) (Continued)

equity interest in North Metro was pledged to Black Diamond as collateral for the construction loan. Since inception, we made aggregate capital contributions in North Metro of approximately \$13.0 million (exclusive of legal and other fees). In May 2008 we provided two letters of credit in the amounts of \$238,625 and \$135,000 and a surety bond in the amount of \$250,000. The letter of credit in the amount of \$238,625 was released in February 2009 and the surety bond in the amount of \$250,000 expired on April 11, 2009. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded equity losses in North Metro of approximately \$1.0 million. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded equity losses in North Metro of \$9.7 million and \$12.1 million, respectively. These losses are included within income (loss) from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations, as noted below.

On October 19, 2008, Southwest Casino Corporation sold its 50% membership interest in North Metro to Black Diamond for (i) \$1.00; (ii) relief from a \$1 million guarantee by Southwest of North Metro's obligations; (iii) a right to repurchase the membership interest; and (iv) certain other considerations. Black Diamond requested that we make additional investments in North Metro; however under the terms of our Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, as amended, we did not have the ability to provide further financial support to North Metro.

On October 31, 2008, the Black Diamond credit agreement was amended to provide for additional loans to North Metro of up to \$1,250,000 (with the making of such additional loans being subject to Black Diamond's sole and absolute discretion). On November 3, 2008, Black Diamond and MTR-Harness entered into a Forbearance Agreement pursuant to which Black Diamond agreed not to enforce, until November 25, 2008, its rights under the Black Diamond credit agreement arising from the failure of North Metro to satisfy certain financial covenants, including the satisfaction of a minimum EBITDA threshold, a maximum leverage threshold, and a minimum cash requirement. On November 24, 2008, Black Diamond and MTR-Harness entered into an additional Forbearance Agreement pursuant to which Black Diamond agreed not to enforce its rights under the Black Diamond credit agreement until January 19, 2009.

Based upon the default under the Black Diamond credit agreement (subject to the above-mentioned Forbearance Agreement then in effect), Black Diamond's transaction with Southwest and our inability to provide further funding to North Metro, we determined that there was substantial doubt as to whether we could recover our investment in North Metro. Accordingly, during the third and fourth quarters of 2008 we recorded impairment losses of \$8.7 million (for which a tax benefit could not be recognized at that time).

On March 2, 2009, Black Diamond removed the board seats held by MTR-Harness, Inc. from North Metro's board of directors, and on April 3, 2009, we received notification that Black Diamond was pursuing legal action seeking (i) enforcement of our payment of the \$1 million guarantee of North Metro's indebtedness and certain additional costs, and (ii) foreclosure of our subsidiary's pledged equity interest in North Metro. Pursuant to a settlement agreement with Black Diamond executed on May 27, 2009, we relinquished our interest in North Metro and paid \$1 million to satisfy our obligations under the guarantee, which is included within income (loss) from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Concurrently, MTR Gaming Group, Inc. entered into a Signal and Consulting Agreement with North

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 3—NORTH METRO HARNESS INITIATIVE, LLC (d/b/a Running Aces Harness Park) (Continued)

Metro pursuant to which North Metro paid us \$250,000 to provide consulting services with respect to its racing operations for a term of three years. On June 3, 2009, Black Diamond terminated the litigation with prejudice and we and Black Diamond executed mutual releases.

The assets and liabilities of MTR-Harness, Inc. have been reflected as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in our consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, and the operating results and cash flows have been reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Summary operating results for the discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30 were as follows:

		Three I	Mon ded	ths	Nine M En		ths
		Septen		30	Septen	bei	30
	2009			2008	2009		2008
			(1	unaudited, i	n thousands)		
Net revenues	\$	-	\$		\$ —	\$	
Loss from discontinued operations							
before income taxes and non- controlling interest		(57)		(9,699)	(1,272)		(12,170)
Income (loss) from discontinued							
operations, net of non-controlling interest and income taxes		2,843		(9,742)	2,042		(11,432)

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, MTR-Harness, Inc. recorded an income tax benefit of approximately \$2.9 million related to the realization of deferred tax assets associated with the impairment losses of \$8.7 million that were recorded in 2008. See Note 7 for additional discussion.

NOTE 4—JACKSON TROTTING ASSOCIATION, LLC (d/b/a Jackson Harness Raceway)

On December 6, 2005, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Jackson Racing, Inc., acquired a 90% interest in Jackson Trotting Association, LLC, which operated Jackson Harness Raceway in Jackson, Michigan, and offered harness racing, simulcast wagering and casual dining. On December 4, 2008, Jackson Trotting ceased the racing and simulcast wagering operations at Jackson Harness Raceway and surrendered its racing license to the Michigan Racing Commission.

Jackson Harness Raceway is located on property leased from Jackson County, Michigan on the Jackson County Fairgrounds through December 31, 2012. However on July 21, 2009, we entered into a Termination, Release and Settlement Agreement with the County of Jackson, Michigan whereby (i) Jackson Trotting and Jackson County terminated the fairgrounds lease, (ii) Jackson Trotting was released from all obligations under the lease, (iii) Jackson Trotting surrendered title of all owned property to Jackson County, and (iv) Jackson Trotting paid a settlement of \$20,000 to Jackson County for consideration of the release. The amount of the reduction of the contractual lease obligation resulting from the Termination, Release and Settlement Agreement approximated the value of property surrendered to Jackson County, or otherwise considered non-recoverable.

During the third quarter of 2008, we performed an evaluation to determine whether the assets of Jackson Trotting were impaired. Jackson Trotting had generated operating losses since acquisition,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 4—JACKSON TROTTING ASSOCIATION, LLC (d/b/a Jackson Harness Raceway) (Continued)

projected further operating losses and substantially exhausted its operating funds, including funds provided by the Company. Furthermore, under the terms of our Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, as amended, we did not have the ability to provide further financial support to Jackson Trotting. Jackson Trotting's assets consisted primarily of a \$2.6 million intangible asset that represented the assigned value of the racing licenses held by Jackson Trotting. The value assigned to the racing licenses considered that the racing licenses permit Jackson Trotting to conduct live racing and simulcasting operations, and if legislative proposals in Michigan were passed, would permit Jackson Trotting to operate electronic gaming devices.

Based upon the projected operating losses, our inability to provide further funding to Jackson Trotting and an assessment of the potential for legislation permitting gaming operations at the racetracks in Michigan, we concluded that the Jackson Trotting intangible asset was impaired and, accordingly, recorded an impairment loss of \$2.6 million (\$2.1 million net of tax) during the third quarter of 2008.

The assets and liabilities of Jackson Racing, Inc. and Jackson Trotting have been reflected as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in our consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, and the operating results and cash flows have been reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Summary operating results for the discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30 were as follows:

Thusa Mantha

Mine Months

	Ended September 30			Ended September 30			r 30	
	20	009	2008		2009		.—	2008
					in thousands)		•	
Net revenues	\$	_	\$	613	\$		\$	2,327
Income (loss) from discontinued operations								
before income taxes and non-controlling interest		41		(2,828)		(130)		(3,271)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of non-controlling interest and income								
taxes		23		(1,936)		(86)		(2,224)

NOTE 5—SALES OF PROPERTIES

Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel

On March 7, 2008, we sold 100% of the stock of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Speakeasy Gaming of Fremont, Inc., which owned and operated Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel, and Speakeasy Fremont Experience Operating Company in accordance with the terms of a Stock Purchase Agreement dated June 26, 2007 (as subsequently amended), executed between the Company and TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc. ("TLC"). The transaction was subject to purchase price adjustments based on changes in the net working capital, certain capital expenditures between execution and closing, and a \$3.5 million working capital adjustment which remained with Binion's upon closing. Net cash to the Company at closing was approximately \$28.0 million. In January 2009, we settled the post-closing purchase price adjustment in the amount of approximately \$1.5 million, which we deposited into an

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 5—SALES OF PROPERTIES (Continued)

escrow account that was utilized to pay a portion of land lease obligations guaranteed by the Company as discussed below. The balance of the escrow account was expended in July 2009.

In connection with our original acquisition of Binion's on March 11, 2004, we provided limited guarantees, which reduce each month as rental payments are made on certain land leases, some of which expired in March 2008 and March 2009, and two of which remain in effect. The two remaining guarantees expire in March 2010 and totaled approximately \$0.8 million at September 30, 2009. TLC remains obligated to use its reasonable best efforts to assist us in obtaining releases of these guarantees, to pay the rent underlying the leases we guaranty on a timely basis, and to indemnify us in the event we are required to pay the land lease obligations pursuant to the guarantees.

Since July 2009, TLC has paid only a portion of total monthly rent with respect to one of the leases we guarantee. Upon the demand of the landlord that we make monthly payments pursuant to our guarantee, we paid the amounts demanded (approximately \$228,000 in the aggregate as of September 30, 2009), thus curing the events of default. We have demanded reimbursement from TLC, and on August 5, 2009 commenced legal action for indemnification pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement. On October 27, 2009, we reached a settlement with TLC whereby TLC agreed to confess judgment as to amounts we paid and amounts that may be paid by us through the expiration of the guarantees, certain legal fees and interest at the rate of 10% on amounts actually paid by us with respect to the rental payments. We agreed to forbear from enforcing the judgment for two years, provided however that the forbearance will terminate under certain conditions, including if TLC fails to timely make any of the agreed payments or there is a change in control of TLC. During the forbearance period, TLC is also obligated to make payments in partial satisfaction of the judgment in the event TLC raises any capital through debt or equity.

Also in connection with our original acquisition of Binion's, we obtained title to the property and equipment subject to an increase in purchase price by \$5.0 million if, at the termination of a Joint Operating License Agreement with HHLV Management Company, LLC, an affiliate of Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., certain operational milestones were achieved. Harrah's claimed it had met the milestones, however we disputed such claim. During the first quarter of 2009, the parties agreed in principle to settle the accounts due between the parties resulting in an adjustment to previously recorded amounts and a charge to discontinued operations of approximately \$0.4 million. On June 11, 2009, we settled this dispute by finalizing the previous agreement in principle and paid HHLV Management Company approximately \$0.7 million, which represented \$1.75 million of purchase price adjustment less approximately \$1.1 million for other amounts HHLV Management Company owed us.

Binion's operating results and cash flows have been reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. Upon completion of the sale on March 7, 2008, and resolution of the purchase price adjustment, we incurred a loss on disposal of \$0.9 million, which was in addition to the loss of \$2.0 million recorded in 2007 to adjust the carrying value of Binion's assets to the anticipated proceeds, less costs to sell.

ı	

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 5—SALES OF PROPERTIES (Continued)

Summary operating results for the discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30			E	Months aded mber 30			
	20	2009 2008		2009 2008 2009 (unaudited, in thousa			2008	
Net revenues Loss from discontinued operations before	\$			\$ —				
income taxes	((277)	(21)	(937)	(2,187))		
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	((181)	(21)	(616)	(1,308))		

Ramada Inn and Speedway Casino

On June 3, 2008, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Speakeasy Gaming of Las Vegas, Inc., sold the gaming assets of the Ramada Inn and Speedway Casino to Lucky Lucy D, LLC in accordance with the terms of an Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement dated January 11, 2008. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Lucky Lucy paid \$2.0 million in cash for the gaming assets and is obligated to pay an additional amount of up to \$4.775 million subject to an earn-out provision based on the property's gross revenues over the four-year period that commenced January 11, 2008. In July 2009, Speakeasy Gaming of Las Vegas, Inc. assigned to the Company its right to any payment under the earn-out provision. Any proceeds that are received will be recorded as the amounts are realized. This sale was the second part of the transaction, the first part of which involved the sale of Speedway's real property to Ganaste LLC on January 11, 2008. A shareholder of Ganaste LLC is the sole owner of Lucky Lucy. Ganaste paid \$11.4 million in cash for the real property. On January 11, 2008, we recorded a gain on the sale of the real property in the amount of \$2.8 million, and on June 3, 2008, we recorded a gain on the sale of the gaming assets in the amount of \$1.2 million.

Speedway's operating results and cash flows have been reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Summary operating results for the discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30 were as follows:

	I nree i	ed	Ended			
	Septem	iber 30	September 30			
	2009	2008	2009	2008		
			, in thousands)			
Net revenues	\$ —	\$	\$ —	\$ 4,456		
(Loss) income from discontinued operations before income taxes		(18)	44	2,486		
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	(1)	(11)	29	1,477		

Other

The Company intends to engage a real estate broker and review its non-operating land holdings to determine properties that should be considered for sale. Properties that are to be disposed of or

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 5—SALES OF PROPERTIES (Continued)

considered held for sale will be reviewed to determine if an impairment in value is indicated based upon fair value estimates received from the independent broker. Impairment will be measured based on a comparison of the carrying value of the property to its fair value less costs of disposal.

NOTE 6-EQUITY TRANSACTIONS AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718 Compensation—Stock (formerly SFAS No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payment). ASC 718-20 requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the consolidated statement of operations based on their fair values and that compensation expense be recognized for awards over the requisite service period of the award or to an employee's eligible retirement date, if earlier. This accounting standard also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow.

Total stock option expense recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$42,000 (\$27,000 net of tax) and \$442,000 (\$287,000 net of tax), respectively. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recognized total stock option expense of \$84,000 (\$55,000 net of tax) and \$1,193,000 (\$775,000 net of tax), respectively. As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$89,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 0.96 years.

There were no options granted or exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. The stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		
Outstanding December 31,				
2008	1,483,800	\$	7.75	
Granted				
Exercised			_	
Expired			_	
Forfeited	(174,300)		11.16	
Outstanding September 30,			•	
2009	1,309,500	\$	7.30	
Exercisable September 30,				
2009	1,219,500	\$	7.37	

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, no stock options were exercised. On September 19, 2008, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors granted, in connection with execution of an employment agreement, options to purchase a total of 150,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$3.71, the NASDAQ Official Close Price on that date. The options have a term of ten years, 50,000 of which vested on date of grant and 50,000 of which vest on each of the first and second anniversary dates of the employment agreement, which was effective November 1, 2008. Additionally, on May 15, 2008, our Compensation Committee granted to one employee options to purchase a total of 30,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 6-EQUITY TRANSACTIONS AND EARNINGS PER SHARE (Continued)

\$5.61 per share, the NASDAQ Official Close Price on the date of grant. The options have a term of ten years and were fully vested on date of grant.

We utilize the treasury stock method in determining the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options. Our basic earnings per share is computed as net income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options and other convertible securities utilizing the treasury stock method. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted to include the potentially dilutive effect of these occurrences. Options to purchase 1,309,500 shares and 1,535,800 shares of common stock were outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, but were not included in the computation of dilutive earnings per share because the effect would be anti-dilutive.

NOTE 7-INCOME TAXES

The effective income tax rate is reflective of permanent non-deductible expenses plus an additional state income tax provision (benefit), if any, associated with the operations of Presque Isle Downs & Casino. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, the recorded income tax benefit for continuing operations reflects a \$2.7 million adjustment to the effective income tax rate for the year-to-date period due to the impact of permanent non-deductible expenses relative to our pre-tax loss. Additionally, during the three months ended September 30, 2009, we obtained new information that caused us to reevaluate the recoverability of deferred tax assets aggregating approximately \$2.9 million associated with certain impairment losses recorded in 2008. As a result, we determined that it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets would be realized and a previously established valuation allowance of approximately \$2.9 million was reversed. The effect of the reversal of the valuation allowance is included in discontinued operations. The benefit associated with the impairment losses was realized through a net operating loss carryback to a prior year.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, the recorded income tax benefit for discontinued operations reflects a valuation allowance of \$3.0 million that was recorded for certain impairment losses for which realization of the tax benefit could not be assured.

In addition, we recognize interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recognized interest expense of approximately \$4,000 and \$32,000, respectively. During the nine-month periods of 2009 and 2008, we recognized interest expense of approximately \$11,000 and \$126,000, respectively.

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate is approximately \$0.1 million. We do not expect a significant increase or decrease to the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and consolidated and separate income tax returns in various state jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to federal income tax examinations for years before 2005 or state income tax examinations for years before 2006.

15

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 8—LONG-TERM DEBT

Refinancing

On August 12, 2009, after previously receiving the required consents of our Senior Subordinated Note holders, we completed the offering of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 12.625% Senior Secured Notes due July 15, 2014, at an issue price of 95.248% of the principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes. The net proceeds of the sale of the Senior Secured Notes, together with cash on hand, were utilized to:

- repurchase all of our outstanding \$130 million 9.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due April 1, 2010 that were tendered in connection with the cash tender offer and consent solicitation and pay the accrued and unpaid interest and consent fees in connection with the tender offer and consent solicitation;
- repay \$100.2 million outstanding under our existing senior secured revolving credit facility plus accrued and unpaid interest; and
- pay consent fees in connection with the solicitation of consents to certain amendments to the indenture governing the Senior Subordinated Notes.

Under the terms of the offer to purchase the Senior Unsecured Notes, holders who tendered their notes received \$1,005 per \$1,000 in principal amount validly tendered. We received tenders and consents from 100% of our \$130 million Senior Unsecured Notes. Under the terms of the Senior Subordinated Notes consent solicitation, holders of approximately \$124.8 million of the \$125 million 9% Senior Subordinated Notes who delivered consents received \$15 per \$1,000 in principal amount of the notes.

We incurred a pre-tax loss on the refinancing of approximately \$1.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009, which is reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as a component of the loss on debt modification and extinguishment.

On October 13, 2009, we completed the offering of \$10 million in aggregate principal amount of 12.625% Senior Secured Notes due July 15, 2014, at an issue price of 96.000% of the principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes. The additional notes form a part of the same series as our previously issued and outstanding Senior Secured Notes. The net proceeds of this offering will be used for general corporate purposes.

The \$260 million 12.625% Senior Secured Notes will mature on July 15, 2014, with interest payable semi-annually on January 15 and July 15 of each year. On or after July 15, 2011, we may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at any time at redemption prices that will decrease from 106.313% for redemptions after July 15, 2011 to 103.156% after July 15, 2012 to 100% after July 15, 2013. In addition, if we experience certain change of control events (as defined in the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes), we must offer to repurchase the notes at 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Senior Secured Notes are guaranteed fully and unconditionally on a senior secured second priority basis by current subsidiaries consisting of Mountaineer Park, Inc., Presque Isle Downs, Inc. and Scioto Downs, Inc., as well as future subsidiaries other than our immaterial subsidiaries, our unrestricted subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes) and Speakeasy Gaming of Las Vegas, Inc., MTR-Harness, Inc. and Jackson Racing, Inc. The Senior Secured Notes are secured on a second priority basis (subject to permitted prior liens

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 8—LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

including borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility discussed below) by a security interest in substantially all of the assets (other than excluded assets, including capital stock of our subsidiaries, cash and deposit accounts, certain real property, gaming licenses and certain gaming equipment that cannot be pledged pursuant to applicable law) of the Company and the guarantors.

Under the registration rights agreement applicable to the Senior Secured Notes, we are required to file an exchange offer registration statement within 90 days following the date of issuance of the Senior Secured Notes, to use commercially reasonable efforts to have the exchange offer registration statement declared effective within 180 days following the date of issuance of the Senior Secured Notes, and to consummate an offer to exchange the Senior Secured Notes for equivalent registered securities within 45 days of the registrations statement being declared effective. In addition, under certain circumstances, we are required to file a shelf registration statement to cover resales of the Senior Secured Notes. The Securities and Exchange Commission has broad discretion to determine whether any registered exchange offer or shelf registration statement will be declared effective and may delay or deny the effectiveness of any such registration statement filed by us for a variety of reasons. We will be required to pay liquidated damages on the Senior Secured Notes if we fail to comply with certain requirements in connection with the exchange offer registration statement and, if applicable, a shelf registration statement.

Commencing in the second quarter of 2008 and until the Senior Subordinated Notes are no longer outstanding, we are required to pay consent fees of \$5.00 per \$1,000 of principal to the holders of our Senior Subordinated Notes if we do not satisfy certain quarterly financial ratios. We have not met these ratios and therefore recorded additional expense of \$625,000 and \$1,875,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

Credit Agreement

On December 19, 2008, we entered into the Fourth Amendment to the Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The Fourth Amendment among other things (i) reduced the aggregate commitment under the agreement from \$125 million to \$110 million; (ii) revised the aggregate commitment reduction schedule; (iii) revised the definition of base rate and applicable margin with respect to the applicable interest rate and computation of fees and charges; (iv) revised the definition of EBITDA to include a provision for one or more addbacks for severance costs for a specified period up to \$2 million; (v) revised the definition of excess cash on hand for covenant calculation purposes; and (vi) revised the required refinancing date of our Senior Unsecured Notes from October 1, 2009 to January 2, 2010. Additionally, during each quarter of 2009 through January 1, 2010, the margin with respect to the applicable interest rate increases by \(^{1}/_{2}\)% from 2.75\% to 4.75\%, respectively, on the total amount outstanding under the credit agreement.

As a result of the Second Amendment to our credit agreement and the reduction in borrowing capacity, we were required to proportionately reduce the amount of existing deferred financing costs. Consequently, we recorded a write-off of deferred financing costs of approximately \$3.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008. This amount is reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as a loss on debt modification and extinguishment.

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 8—LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

On July 24, 2009, we executed the Limited Consent and Fifth Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Fifth Amendment"), dated as of July 15, 2009. The Fifth Amendment became effective, and its provisions were implemented, upon the completion of our refinancing of the Senior Unsecured Notes and voluntary prepayment of all amounts outstanding under the existing senior secured revolving credit agreement (\$100.2 million at August 12, 2009). The Fifth Amendment amended the existing credit agreement and provided for \$20.0 million of revolving borrowing capacity (the "Amended and Restated Credit Facility"). Commitments under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility were reduced by \$2.75 million in September 2009 and will reduce by an additional \$5.5 million in December 2009. In addition, the Amended and Restated Credit Facility requires us to permanently repay amounts outstanding, and reduce the commitment thereunder, with the proceeds from certain asset sales (but not upon the sale of non-core land as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility) and insurance from events of loss, the incurrence of debt or sales of capital stock. The maturity date of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility is March 31, 2010. Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility bear interest at a margin of 5.0% above the base rate (i.e. prime), or 6.0% above the LIBOR rate, subject to specified floors on LIBOR and the base rate, as provided by the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. (A Sixth Amendment, also executed in August of 2009, relates to assignment of the loan among the Company's secured creditors in certain circumstances.)

As a result of the Fifth Amendment and the reduction in borrowing capacity, we were required to proportionately reduce the amount of existing deferred financing costs. Consequently, we recorded a write-off of deferred financing costs of approximately \$1.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. This amount is reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as a component of the loss on debt modification and extinguishment.

On October 13, 2009, we executed the Seventh Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Seventh Amendment"). The Seventh Amendment permitted the sale of the \$10 million of additional 12.625% Senior Secured Notes and reduced the borrowing capacity from \$20 million to \$10 million, subject to the mandatory commitment reduction of \$5.5 million in December 2009. As a result of the Seventh Amendment and the reduction in borrowing capacity, we were required to proportionately reduce the amount of existing deferred financing costs resulting in a loss on debt modification of approximately \$350,000.

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility includes certain financial and other covenants set forth therein. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility contains an interest coverage ratio of 1.50 to 1.00, a secured leverage ratio of 4.00 to 1.00 to September 30, 2009, and 3.75 to 1.00 from October 1, 2009, and a priority senior secured leverage ratio of 0.50 to 1.00. Each such ratio is measured on a quarterly basis. We maintained compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2009. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility also includes restrictions on our ability to make capital expenditures; changes in the nature of our businesses; sale of all or a substantial or material portions of our assets; mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, reorganizations and recapitalizations; investments; additional indebtedness; guarantees; leases; dividends and distributions; liens; debt repayments; sale-leasebacks; lease expenditures; and transactions with affiliates. A payment default or an acceleration of indebtedness in excess of \$10.0 million as a result of an event of default under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility would give rise to an event of default under the indentures governing the Senior Secured Notes

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 8—LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

and the Senior Subordinated Notes which would entitle the holders of the notes to exercise the remedies provided in the indentures, subject to the restrictions set forth in the inter-creditor agreement between the Company, note holders and banks participating in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility.

Obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility are guaranteed by each of our operating subsidiaries. Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the subsidiary guarantees are secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of the subsidiary guarantors. Future subsidiaries will be required to enter into similar pledge agreements and guarantees.

At September 30, 2009, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility except for letters of credit for approximately \$1.1 million (which reduced to \$0.6 million in October 2009). At December 31, 2008, borrowings of \$101.9 million and letters of credit for approximately \$1.5 million were outstanding under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility.

Our Amended and Restated Credit Facility will mature on March 31, 2010. The terms of our indentures permit us to incur secured indebtedness in an aggregate principal amount up to \$20 million under credit facilities. We intend to enter into a new credit facility upon the maturity of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. The liens securing indebtedness incurred under such credit facilities will rank senior in priority to the liens securing the notes the Senior Secured Notes. Although we intend to enter into a new credit facility when our existing Amended and Restated Credit Facility matures, or is otherwise terminated, we have not obtained the commitment of any lenders to provide such facility and we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain a replacement credit facility on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. If we are unable to enter into a replacement credit facility, our liquidity could be materially adversely affected.

Other Debt Financing Arrangements

In 1999, Scioto Downs, Inc. entered into a term loan agreement that provides for monthly payments of principal and interest through September 2013. The term loan is collateralized by a first mortgage on Scioto Downs' real property facilities, as well as other personal property, and an assignment of the rents from lease arrangements. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there was \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, outstanding under the term loan.

Throughout 2007 and 2008, both Presque Isle Downs and Mountaineer Casino executed various promissory notes and capital lease arrangements to finance the purchase of equipment including slot machines and surveillance equipment. Aggregate amounts due under these arrangements approximated \$9.5 million and \$19.4 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. No such arrangements were executed during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Property, plant and equipment subject to capital lease arrangements had net book values of approximately \$3.6 million and \$4.5 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we borrowed \$0.5 million in connection with equipment financing arrangements.

1	C

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 9—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

On January 17, 2006, Gary Birzer, a jockey who was injured during a race at Mountaineer in July 2004, filed a first amended complaint in which he alleges that Mountaineer was negligent in its design, construction and maintenance of the racetrack as well as in its administration of races. Mr. Birzer sought medical expenses to date of \$550,000, future medical expenses, unspecified lost wages and other damages resulting from his injuries. Mr. Birzer sought in excess of \$10 million in damages. Mr. Birzer's wife sought \$2 million for loss of consortium. On August 27, 2009, we settled the Birzers' claims, which was covered and paid under our general liability insurance policy, and the case was dismissed with prejudice.

On October 8, 2009, Edson R. Arneault, former Chairman, President and CEO of the Company, initiated a legal action which named as defendants the Company, and certain of its affiliates and various other parties regarding a dispute under Mr. Arneault's deferred compensation agreement and employment agreements. The complaint, filed in the Circuit Court of Hancock County, West Virginia, does not specify a damage amount sought from the defendants. The complaint alleged, among other things, that the Company is required to continue to pay annual premiums on certain insurance policies under the deferred compensation and employment agreements between the Company and Mr. Arneault. In conjunction with Mr. Arneault's departure from the Company on October 31, 2008, the Company recorded the obligation with respect to the insurance premiums and the Company has continued to pay such premiums as they become due and payable. The Company is the owner and beneficiary of the policies. It is our position that we no longer have a liability to Mr. Arneault under the aforementioned agreement. We believe that the legal action filed by Mr. Arneault is without merit and intend to vigorously defend this matter.

We are also a party to various lawsuits, which have arisen in the normal course of our business. The liability arising from unfavorable outcomes of those lawsuits is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE 10—SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 3, 2009, the voters of Ohio approved a proposal for a casino to be located in each of Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo, and Columbus. A casino in Cleveland will increase competition at both Mountaineer Casino and Presque Isle Downs commencing approximately in 2013. A casino in Columbus will increase competition at Scioto Downs. We intend to be proactive in our efforts to mitigate the effects of such competition, including continuing our efforts to introduce gaming at Scioto Downs as the Governor of Ohio has proposed. The Company will consider the potential for future gaming at Scioto Downs and the impact on the carrying value of the \$13.2 million intangible asset associated with the fair value of the racing licenses held by Scioto Downs. Such value reflected that the racing licenses would permit Scioto Downs to operate gaming if such legislation were passed.

20

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This report includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our strategies, objectives and plans for future development or acquisitions of properties or operations, as well as expectations, future operating results and other information that is not historical information. When used in this report, the terms or phrases such as "anticipates", "believes", "projects", "plans", "intends", "expects", "estimates", "could", "would", "will likely continue", and variations of such words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and with what we believe is a reasonable basis, there can be no assurance that these expectations, beliefs and projections will be realized.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements which are included elsewhere in this report. Such risks, uncertainties and other important factors include, but are not limited to:

- changes in, or failure to comply with, laws, regulations or the conditions of our West Virginia and
 Pennsylvania gaming licenses (or the failure to obtain renewals thereof), accounting standards or
 environmental laws (including adverse changes in the rates of taxation on gaming revenues) and delays in
 regulatory licensing processes;
- competitive and general economic conditions in our markets, including the impact of the Rivers Casino, which
 opened in August of 2009 in downtown Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and implementation of casino gaming in
 Cleveland and Columbus, Ohio, which was approved on November 3, 2009 by referendum;
- the enactment of future gaming legislation in the jurisdictions in which we operate our casinos or in competing jurisdictions, particularly the recent developments regarding video lottery terminals at racetracks in Ohio and table games at casinos in Pennsylvania;
- the success and growth of table gaming at our West Virginia casino;
- the success of non-taxable promotional credits (commonly referred to as "free play") at our West Virginia casino;
- construction factors relating to maintenance and expansion of operations;
- general economic and market conditions;
- volatility and disruption of the capital and credit markets;
- dependence on our West Virginia and Pennsylvania casinos for the majority of our revenues and cash flows;
- dependence upon key personnel and the ability to attract new personnel;
- the ability to retain and attract customers;
- weather or road conditions limiting access to our properties;
- our substantial indebtedness;
- the ability to refinance existing debt, or obtain additional financing, if and when needed, and the impact of leverage and debt service requirements;

certain covenants in our debt documents;

21

- the integration and performance of acquired businesses;
- the effect of war, terrorism, natural disasters and other catastrophic events; and
- the effect of disruptions to our systems and infrastructure.
- the other factors as set forth in Part II Item 1A Risk Factors

Additional factors that could cause our actual performance to differ materially from that contemplated by such forward-looking statements are detailed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended on Form 10K-A, for the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as other recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We do not intend to publicly update any forward-looking statements, except as may be required by law.

OVERVIEW

We own and operate Mountaineer Casino, Racetrack & Resort in Chester, West Virginia; Presque Isle Downs & Casino in Erie, Pennsylvania; and Scioto Downs in Columbus, Ohio. We consider these three properties, which are located in contiguous states, to be our core assets. Scioto Downs, through its subsidiary RacelineBet, Inc., also operates Racelinebet.com, a national account wagering service that offers online and telephone wagering on horse races as a marketing affiliate of AmericaTab LTD.

On November 3, 2009, the voters of Ohio approved a proposal for a casino to be located in each of Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo, and Columbus. A casino in Cleveland will increase competition at both Mountaineer Casino and Presque Isle Downs commencing approximately in 2013. A casino in Columbus will increase competition at Scioto Downs. We intend to be proactive in our efforts to mitigate the effects of such competition, including continuing our efforts to introduce gaming at Scioto Downs as the Governor of Ohio has proposed and table gaming at Presque Isle Downs, providing first-class customer service at all of our facilities, and further reducing our costs. See "Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors—We face significant competition from other gaming and racing facilities, and increased competition could have a material adverse effect on us, recent passage of a referendum authorizing four casinos in Ohio will create significant new competition."

Through May 27, 2009, our wholly-owned subsidiary, MTR-Harness, Inc., owned a 50% interest in North Metro Harness Initiative, LLC ("North Metro"), which operates Running Aces Harness Park in Anoka County, Minnesota. We relinquished our interest in North Metro to North Metro's lender pursuant to a settlement agreement with North Metro's lender executed on May 27, 2009. Reclassifications have been made to the prior period presentation to reflect the assets, liabilities, operating results and cash flows of MTR-Harness, Inc. and its interest in North Metro as discontinued operations. See additional information as discussed below.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Jackson Racing, Inc., we own a 90% interest in Jackson Trotting Association LLC ("Jackson Trotting"), which operated Jackson Harness Raceway in Jackson, Michigan. On December 4, 2008, Jackson Trotting ceased the racing and simulcast wagering operations at Jackson Harness Raceway and surrendered its racing license to the Michigan Racing Commission. Reclassifications have been made to the prior period presentation to reflect the assets, liabilities, operating results and cash flows of Jackson Racing, Inc. and its interest in Jackson Trotting as discontinued operations.

Through March 7, 2008 and June 3, 2008, we owned and operated Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel ("Binion's) in Las Vegas, Nevada, and the Ramada Inn and Speedway Casino ("Speedway") in North Las Vegas, Nevada, respectively. We sold Binion's on March 7, 2008, pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement executed between the Company and TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc., and on June 3, 2008, we completed the sale of the Speedway pursuant to the terms of an Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement executed between the Company and Lucky Lucy D, LLC.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of income (loss) from continuing operations, a GAAP financial measure, to EBITDA from continuing operations, a non-GAAP measure, and income (loss) from discontinued operations, a GAAP financial measure, to EBITDA from discontinued operations, a non-GAAP measure, for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	_	2009		2008 2009		2008		
G. d. in Orandana			(u	maudited, i	n th	ousa nds)		
Continuing Operations: MTR Gaming Group, Inc. (consolidated)—continuing								
operations:								
(Loss) income from continuing								
operations	\$	(2,108)	\$	3,471	\$	311	\$	314
Interest expense, net of interest								
income		11,840		10,163		31,281		30,695
(Benefit) provision for income taxes		(2,779)		1,622		3		261
Depreciation		7,443		7,396		22,031		22,394
Loss on disposal of property		31		1		169		1
Loss on debt modification and		2,773				2,773		3,356
extinguishment Other		39		_		39		2,220
	Φ.		d	22 (52	φ.		ø	57.001
EBITDA from continuing operations	\$	17,239	<u>→</u>	22,653	\$	56,607	\$	57,021
Mountaineer Casino, Racetrack & Resort:								
Income from continuing operations Interest expense, net of interest	\$	14,314	\$	6,651	\$	20,410	\$	16,093
income		1,056		2,253		5,522		6,771
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	•	(6,858)		2,902		127		7,264
Depreciation		3,672		3,646		10,849		11,151
Loss on disposal of property		30				123		_
Loss on debt modification and extinguishment		773				773		
EBITDA from continuing operations	\$	12,987	\$	15,452	\$	37,804	\$	41,279
Presque Isle Downs & Casino:								
Income from continuing operations Interest expense, net of interest	\$	12,889	\$	4,833	\$	18,476	\$	10,524
income		170		361		292		1,221
(Benefit) provision for income taxes		(6,287)		2,122		115		4,751
Depreciation		3,561		3,439	_	10,560		10,313
EBITDA from continuing operations	\$	10,333	\$	10,755	\$	29,443	\$	26,809
Scioto Downs: Loss from continuing operations Interest expense net of interest	\$	(1,093)	\$	(368)	\$	(1,692)	\$	(1,265)
Interest expense, net of interest income		22		27		70		83
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		675		27		(11)		(571)
Depreciation		203		227		608		679
Other		39				39		
EBITDA from continuing operations	\$	(154)	\$	(87)	\$	(986)	\$	(1,074)
Corporate:	=		_		÷		_	
Loss from continuing operations Interest expense, net of interest	\$	(28,218)	\$	(7,645)	\$	(36,883)	\$	(25,038)
income		10,592		7,522		25,397		22,620
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		9,691		(3,429)		(228)		(11,183)
Depreciation		7		84		14		251
Loss on disposal of property		1		1		46		1

3,356

(9,993)

Loss on debt modification and extinguishment 2,000 - 2,000 EBITDA from continuing operations (5,927) (3,467) (9,654)

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2009 2008					2009	2008			
Discontinued operations:			(u	maudited, i	a the	ousands)				
MTR-Harness/Running Aces Harness Park:										
Income (loss) from discontinued operations Interest expense	\$	2,843 2	\$	(9,742) 6	\$	2,042 7	\$	(11,432) 8		
(Benefit) provision for income taxes Equity in loss of North Metro		(2,900)		43		(3,314)		(738)		
Harness Initiative, LLC				9,682		1,000		12,107		
EBITDA from discontinued operations	\$	(55)	\$	(11)	\$	(265)	\$	(55)		
Jackson Racing/Jackson Harness Raceway: Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	24	\$	(1,937)	\$	(85)	\$	(2,225)		
Interest expense, net of interest income and non-controlling interest				1				3		
Provision (benefit) for income taxes, net of non-controlling interest		12		(872)		(44)		(1,005)		
Depreciation, net of non-controlling interest	÷			9		_		25		
Loss on disposal of property, net of non-controlling interest		115				115		159		
Loss on impairment of Jackson Trotting Association, LLC Other		176 153		2,586		176 153		2,586		
EBITDA from discontinued operations	\$	480	\$	(213)	\$	315	\$	(457)		
Ramada Inn and Speedway Casino: (Loss) income from discontinued	_		_	(4.4)	-		Φ.	1 455		
operations Interest (income) expense	\$	(1) —	\$	(11)	\$	29 (1)	\$	1,477		
Provision (benefit) for income taxes Depreciation		1		(7) —		15		1,009 199		
Loss (gain) on disposal of property EBITDA from discontinued			_	13				(3,603)		
operations	\$	<u> </u>	\$ =	(5)	\$	43	\$	(755)		
Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel: Loss from discontinued operations Interest income, net of interest	\$	(181)	\$	(21)	\$	(616)	\$	(1,308)		
expense Benefit for income taxes (Gain) loss on disposal of property		(96) —	ı	— (11)		(321)		(29) (879) 673		
EBITDA from discontinued operations	\$	(277)	\$	(32)	\$	(937)	\$	(1,543)		

EBITDA represents earnings (losses) before interest expense (income), income tax expense (benefit), depreciation and amortization, loss on debt modification and extinguishment, equity in loss of unconsolidated joint venture, (gain) loss on disposal of property and loss on asset impairment. EBITDA is not a measure of performance or liquidity calculated in accordance with GAAP, is unaudited and should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income or income from operations as an indicator of our operating performance, or cash flows from operating activities, as a measure of liquidity. EBITDA has been presented as a supplemental disclosure because it is a widely used measure of performance and

basis for valuation of companies in our industry. Uses of cash flows that are not reflected in EBITDA include capital expenditures (which are significant given our expansion), interest payments, income taxes, and debt principal repayments. Moreover, other companies that provide EBITDA information may calculate EBITDA differently than we do. The definition of EBITDA may not be the same as the definitions used in any of our other debt agreements.

24

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 COMPARED TO THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

The following tables set forth information concerning our results of operations by property for continuing operations.

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Mon Septem		
	•	2009	2008		2009			2008
			(unaudited, i	n th	ousands)		
Net revenues—continuing								
operations:								
Mountaineer Casino,								
Racetrack & Resort	\$	68,882	\$	77,255	\$	207,716	\$	226,663
Presque Isle Downs & Casino		49,173		51,497		139,985		139,237
Scioto Downs		1,402		2,029		2,579		3,605
Corporate		21		3		30		9
Consolidated net revenues	\$	119,478	\$	130,784	\$	350,310	\$	369,514
					_			

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2009	2008		2009			2008
			(1	maudited, i	in th			
Operating income (loss)—continuing								
operations:								
Mountaineer Casino, Racetrack &								
Resort	\$	9,286	\$	11,806	\$	26,832	\$	30,128
Presque Isle Downs & Casino		6,772		7,315		18,883		16,496
Scioto Downs		(356)		(313)		(1,593)		(1,752)
Corporate		(5,937)		(3,552)		(9,715)		(10,246)
Consolidated operating income	\$	9,765	\$	15,256	\$	34,407	\$	34,626
Mountaineer Casino, Racetrack & Resort Presque Isle Downs & Casino Scioto Downs Corporate		6,772 (356) (5,937)	\$	7,315 (313) (3,552)	\$	18,883 (1,593) (9,715)	\$	16,49 (1,75 (10,24

Mountaineer's Operating Results:

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, Mountaineer's operating results continued to be affected by competition, primarily from slot operations in Pennsylvania. Net revenues decreased by \$8.4 million, or 10.8%, compared to the three-month period of 2008, which included a \$5.5 million decrease in gaming revenues. Net revenues earned from food, beverage and lodging operations decreased by \$1.0 million, and net revenues earned from other sources, including parimutuel commissions decreased by \$1.2 million. Promotional allowances increased by \$0.7 million. Mountaineer's overall operating margin decreased to 13.5% in 2009 from 15.3% in 2008 due to the revenue decline and increased marketing expenditures.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Mountaineer's operating results were adversely affected by severe weather conditions in January and February of 2009 and the continued effect of competition, primarily from slot operations in Pennsylvania. Net revenues decreased by \$18.9 million, or 8.4%, compared to the nine-month period of 2008, primarily due to a \$12.7 million decrease in gaming revenues. Net revenues earned from food, beverage and lodging operations decreased by \$2.7 million, and net revenues earned from other sources, including pari-mutual commissions decreased by \$1.8 million. Promotional allowances increased by \$1.7 million. Mountaineer's overall operating margin decreased to 12.9% in 2009 from 13.3% in 2008.

Significant factors contributing to Mountaineer's 2009 operating results were:

- a decline in the gross profit from gaming operations (as discussed below);
- an increase in marketing promotions and advertising costs of \$1.4 million and \$4.8 million during the threeand nine-month periods of 2009, respectively, related to aggressive cash promotion campaigns prior to the implementation of free play on September 1, 2009; offset by
- an overall decrease in compensation and benefits costs of \$3.3 million and \$8.5 million during the three- and nine-month periods of 2009, as well as other cost savings programs.

A discussion of Mountaineer's key operations follows.

Gaming Operations. Revenues from gaming operations during the three months ended September 30, 2009 decreased by \$5.5 million, or 8.2%, to \$61.5 million compared to the same period of 2008, and gross profit decreased by \$1.9 million, or 7.2%. The decline in the gross profit resulted primarily from the total gaming revenue decline. Revenues from slot operations decreased by \$3.7 million to \$50.0 million in 2009 compared to \$53.7 million in 2008, and poker and table gaming revenue decreased by \$1.8 million, generating revenues of \$1.5 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, in 2009 compared to \$1.7 million and \$11.5 million, respectively, in 2008.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, revenues from gaming operations decreased by \$12.7 million, or 6.4%, to \$185.9 million compared to the same period of 2008, and gross profit decreased by \$3.2 million, or 4.3%. Revenues from slot operations decreased by \$11.3 million to \$150.3 million in 2009 compared to \$161.6 million in 2008, and poker and table gaming revenue decreased by \$1.4 million, generating revenues of \$4.9 million and \$30.6 million, respectively, in 2009 compared to \$5.6 million and \$31.3 million, respectively, in 2008.

The following tables set forth statistical information concerning Mountaineer's gaming operations.

	Three Months Ended					Nine Months Ended						
	September 30,				September 30,							
		2009		2008		2009		2008				
				(una	udit	ed)						
Slots:												
Average daily net												
win per slot												
macĥine	\$	192	\$	182	\$	184	\$	184				
Hold percentage		8.7%	6	9.1%	6	9.0%		9.1%				
Average number												
of slot												
machines		2,838		3,192		2,993		3,192				
Tables:		2,000		3,172		2,555		5,152				
	ø	55 655 000	æ	61,661,000	æ	164,802,000	Φ	170,775,000				
Total table drop	Φ	55,655,000	Φ	01,001,000	Ф	104,802,000	Φ	170,775,000				
Average daily net			40	0.070	Φ	1.014	ø	0.100				
win per table	\$,	\$	2,270		1,914		2,120				
Hold percentage		17.8%	6	18.6%	0	18.6%)	18.3%				
Average number												
of tables		66		55		59		54				
Poker:												
Average daily												
poker rake per												
table	\$	411	\$	472	\$	448	\$	518				
Average number	Ψ		•		•		•					
of tables		40		40		40		40				
or rapies		40		40		40		10				

Management attributes the decrease in slot revenue to severe weather conditions in January and February and the continuing impact on our market from gaming operations in Pennsylvania, with which Mountaineer shares some customer base in Ohio and Pennsylvania. On August 9, 2009, the Rivers Casino in downtown Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, approximately

a one-hour drive from Mountaineer, opened with 3,000 slot machines and various food, beverage and entertainment venues. Additionally, The Meadows Racetrack & Casino, a harness racetrack in Washington, Pennsylvania, which is approximately 40 miles southeast of Mountaineer, opened its permanent casino on April 15, 2009, with over 3,700 slot machines and various food and beverage outlets.

26

On September 1, 2009, Mountaineer began to offer its patrons the ability to play slot machines with promotional credits (commonly referred to as "free play"). Promotional credits are not subject to taxes and assessments. Management believes that free play will allow Mountaineer to compete more effectively with gaming operations in Pennsylvania which already have free play. To-date, we have converted 2,020 of our slot machines and the state's central monitoring system to accommodate free play and plan to convert an additional 189 slot machines through January 2010. Capital expenditures related to the conversion of our slot machines to accommodate free play amounted to approximately \$1.7 million through September 30, 2009, and is not expected to exceed \$3.0 million in the aggregate. We believe table games, and the implementation of free play, at Mountaineer will continue to enhance Mountaineer's competitive position by drawing new customers and driving increased play from our existing customers, which may contribute to Mountaineer's gaming revenue growth. On July 9, 2009, Mountaineer opened 11 additional table games to further distinguish our gaming product from slot machines in West Virginia's local bars and clubs and slot machine operations in Pennsylvania. However, gaming operations at Mountaineer during 2009 may continue to be impacted by competitive pressures from the Rivers Casino in downtown Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and The Meadows Racetrack & Casino in Washington, Pennsylvania.

During the three-month periods of 2009 and 2008, taxes and assessments approximated 55.4% of slot revenues. For poker and table gaming operations, the tax rate was 40.5% and 39.7% for the three-month periods of 2009 and 2008, respectively. Overall, gaming taxes and assessments decreased by \$2.7 million to \$32.3 million during the three-month period of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008. Additionally, gaming compensation and benefits costs decreased by \$0.9 million during 2009 compared to 2008 principally as a result of cost containment efforts.

During the nine-month period of 2009, the decrease in revenues from slot operations resulted in taxes and assessments as a percentage of slot revenues to be 56.2% compared to 56.7% during the same period of 2008. For poker and table gaming operations, the tax rate was 40.3% and 40.0% for the nine-month periods of 2009 and 2008, respectively. Overall, gaming taxes and assessments decreased by \$7.5 million to \$98.8 million during the nine-month period of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008. Additionally, gaming compensation and benefits costs decreased by \$2.0 million during 2009 compared to 2008 principally as a result of cost containment efforts.

Pari-mutuel Commissions. Pari-mutuel commissions for Mountaineer, detailing gross handles less patron payouts and deductions, for the three and nine months ended September 30 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2009		2008	2009			2008
			(unaudited,	in t	housands)		
Import simulcast racing pari-mutuel								
handle	\$	3,257	\$	4,132	\$	10,537	\$	13,724
Live racing pari-mutuel handle		2,539		2,851		5,904		6,493
Less patrons' winning tickets		(4,575)		(5,511)		(12,982)		(15,955)
		1,221	_	1,472		3,459		4,262
Revenues—export simulcast		2,759		3,236		7,636		8,575
		3,980		4,708		11,095		12,837
Less:								
State and county pari-mutuel tax		(117)		(122)		(327)		(335)
Purses and Horsemen's Association		(1,755)		(2,103)		(4,851)		(5,693)
Revenues—pari-mutuel commissions	\$	2,108	\$	2,483	\$	5,917	\$	6,809

Overall, Mountaineer's pari-mutuel commissions decreased by 15.1% and 13.1% during the three- and nine-month periods of 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods during 2008, despite eight

additional racing days in 2009 compared to 2008. The decrease in import simulcast handle, as well as export simulcast, was primarily due to a decline in on-track and off-track wagering, which is consistent with the national average decline in wagering of 10.2% during the first nine months of 2009 compared to 2008, as reported by *Equibase Company*.

Live racing and import simulcast may continue to be impacted by the conversion of some live racing patrons to export simulcast patrons (whether through traditional off track wagering facilities or growth in the utilization of telephone and/or internet wagering) and increased competition from Pennsylvania's racetracks. Mountaineer currently simulcasts its live races to over 1,000 sites.

Food, beverage and lodging operations. Revenues from food, beverage and lodging operations during the three months ended September 30, 2009 were \$6.0 million, which decreased by \$1.0 million, or 14.3%, compared to the same period of 2008 and gross profit from these operations decreased by 6.6%. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, revenues from food, beverage and lodging operations were \$17.3 million, which decreased by \$2.7 million, or 13.5%, compared to the same period of 2008; however gross profit from these operations only decreased by 1.0%.

The decrease in revenues was reflective of the decline in patron traffic due to severe weather conditions in January and February and a shift in marketing strategies designed to reduce food and beverage offers to patrons and increase cash programs.

The average daily room rate for the Grande Hotel decreased to \$47.96 during the third quarter of 2009 from \$85.79 during the same period of 2008, but the average occupancy rate increased to 90.5% from 79.0% during the same periods, respectively. Year-to-date, the average daily room rate decreased to \$49.68 during 2009 from \$80.60 during 2008, but the average occupancy rate increased to 83.0% from 76.9% during the same periods, respectively.

The decrease in daily room rates and increase in occupancy primarily reflects a shift in marketing strategies to market the hotel to gaming patrons, offset in part to a decline in patron traffic due to severe weather conditions in January and February.

Other operations. Other operating revenues were primarily derived from operations of the Spa, Fitness Center, retail outlets and golf course; from the sale of programs, admission fees, and lottery tickets; from check cashing and ATM services and from special events at The Harv and Convention Center. Mountaineer's earned revenues from other operations decreased by \$0.8 million, or 33.4%, during the three-month period of 2009; however operating expenses decreased by a comparative amount during the same period. During the nine-month period of 2009, revenues from other operations decreased by \$0.9 million, or 15.9%, compared to the same period of 2008, while operating costs decreased by \$2.0 million, or 33.2%, during the same period. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a decrease in entertainment and convention center revenues, and the decrease in operating costs was primarily due to a decrease in entertainment costs as well as other cost containment efforts.

Presque Isle Downs' Operating Results:

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, Presque Isle Downs experienced decreased revenues compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008. Net revenues decreased by \$2.3 million, or 4.5%, primarily due to a \$2.2 million decrease in slot revenues. Presque Isle Downs' operating margin decreased to 13.8% in 2009 from 14.2% in 2008.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Presque Isle Downs's overall net revenues and operating margins improved from the same period of 2008. Net revenues increased slightly by \$0.7 million, or 0.5%, due primarily to an increase in slot revenues. Presque Isle Downs' operating margin increased to 13.5% in 2009 from 11.8% in 2008.

Significant factors contributing to Presque Isle Downs' 2009 operating results were:

- an increase in gross profit from gaming operations, as well as food and beverage operations (as discussed below);
- an overall decrease in compensation and benefits costs of \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million during the three- and nine-month periods of 2009, respectively; offset by
- an increase in real estate taxes of \$0.4 million during the three- and nine-month periods of 2009.

Gaming Operations. Revenues from gaming operations during the three months ended September 30, 2009 decreased by \$2.2 million, or 4.7%, to \$45.0 million compared to the same period of 2008, and gross profit remained constant at approximately 35%. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, revenues from gaming operations increased by \$0.9 million, or 0.7%, to \$130.0 million compared to the same period of 2008, and gross profit increased by \$2.9 million or 6.7%.

The following tables set forth statistical information concerning Presque Isle Downs' gaming operations.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine M End Septem	-		
		2009 2008			2009			2008
	·			(unau	dite	d)		
Slots:								
Average daily net win per slot machine	\$	244	\$	256	\$	239	\$	236
Hold percentage		7.8%	ó	8.4%	6	7.9%	ó	8.2%
Average number of slot machines		2,000		2,000		2,000		2,000

Overall, the decrease in revenues from gaming operations during the three-month period of 2009 resulted in decreased gaming taxes and assessments in the amount of \$1.1 million to \$27.3 million compared to the same period of 2008. During the nine-month period of 2009, the increase in revenues from gaming operations resulted in increased gaming taxes and assessments in the amount of \$0.6 million to \$78.8 million compared to the same period of 2008. For the three- and nine-month periods of 2009 and 2008, Presque Isle Downs' gaming taxes and assessments approximated 60.6% of slot revenues. Additionally, slot machine lease expenses decreased by \$0.3 million during the third quarter and by \$0.8 million year-to-date.

Management attributes the decrease in slot revenue to the impact on Presque Isle Downs' market from gaming operations in Pennsylvania. On August 9, 2009, the Rivers Casino in downtown Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, approximately a two-hour drive from Presque Isle Downs, opened with 3,000 slot machines and various food, beverage and entertainment venues. Gaming operations at Presque Isle Downs during the remainder of 2009 may continue to be impacted by competitive pressures from the Rivers Casino in downtown Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, as well as The Meadows Racetrack & Casino in Washington, Pennsylvania.

Pari-mutuel Commissions. Overall, Presque Isle Downs' pari-mutuel commissions revenue remained consistent during the three- and nine-month periods of 2009 compared to 2008. However, operating expenses decreased slightly by \$0.2 million during the third quarter and by \$0.5 million year-to-date. Live racing at Presque Isle Downs commenced May 8, 2009, and ended for the season on September 26, 2009.

The 2008 operating results related to pari-mutuel commissions were impacted by Presque Isle Downs' inability to send out its live racing signal to advance deposit wagering sites due to restrictions imposed by the horsemen. The Interstate Horse Racing Act requires horsemen's approval in order to

send a live racing signal to these sites. In May 2009, the horsemen granted Presque Isle Downs approval to simulcast its live racing signal to advance deposit wagering sites. Currently, Presque Isle Downs simulcasts its live races to approximately 500 sites compared to approximately 300 sites in 2008.

Food and beverage operations. Revenues from food and beverage operations during the three months ended September 30, 2009 were \$3.2 million, which remained consistent with revenues earned during the same period of 2008. However, operating expenses decreased by \$0.3 million to \$2.5 million, primarily as a result of the property's cost containment efforts in the areas of food costs and salaries.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, revenues from food and beverage operations were \$8.1 million, which increased by \$0.1 million, or 1.3%, compared to the same period of 2008. However, operating expenses decreased by \$1.0 million to \$6.9 million, primarily as a result of a decrease in food costs of \$0.5 million and salaries of \$0.3 million. As a result of the increase in revenues and decrease in operating expenses, the gross profit from food and beverage operations was 13.8% in 2009 compared to 0.1% in 2008.

Scioto Downs' Operating Results:

Net revenues decreased by \$0.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the same period of 2008; however operating expenses decreased by a comparative amount during the same period. During the nine-month period of 2009, net revenues decreased by \$1.0 million and operating expenses decreased by \$1.2 million compared to 2008. The decrease in revenues and operating costs resulted primarily from the absence of food and beverage revenue and expenses in 2009. In 2009, Scioto began outsourcing its food and beverage outlets to a third-party operator. Additionally, in order to reduce expenses and operating losses, Scioto Downs and Beulah Park, the other racetrack in Columbus, Ohio, have an agreement, which was approved by the Ohio Racing Commission, whereby Scioto operates its simulcasting only during its live race meet (generally May through September); and during the remaining periods, Scioto Downs' simulcasting is closed and Beulah Park operates its simulcasting. Similarly, when Scioto is open for live racing and simulcasting, Beulah Park is closed. The operating losses incurred by Scioto during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 were slightly lower than the operating losses incurred during the 2008 periods.

On July 13, 2009, the governor of Ohio signed an executive order directing the Ohio Lottery to take action to implement, and the Ohio legislature approved a budget bill enabling, video lottery terminals at Ohio's seven horse tracks, including Scioto Downs. On July 20, 2009, an action was filed with the Ohio Supreme Court seeking a writ of mandamus to compel the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio to treat the video lottery provisions of the budget bill as subject to voter referendum, claiming that the video lottery provisions of the budget bill are subject to voter referendum because they constitute a permanent change in law that is not exempt from the referendum requirements contained in the Ohio constitution. On September 21, 2009, the Ohio Supreme Court issued an opinion finding that the video lottery provisions of the budget bill are subject to voter referendum. The Ohio Supreme Court also granted the plaintiffs an extension to December 20, 2009, to circulate a petition that, if signed by a sufficient number of voters, would give Ohio voters the right to overturn the provisions of the Ohio legislation permitting video lottery and effectively determine whether video gaming will be permitted at Ohio racetracks. The petition requires approximately 241,365 signatures to put the referendum on the ballot. If the necessary signatures are obtained to bring the measure to ballot, the vote on gaming at racetracks in Ohio is unlikely to occur prior to November 2010 and there can be no assurance that the requisite voter approval will be obtained or, if obtained, when gaming would commence at Scioto Downs. If the necessary signatures for the referendum petition are not obtained, the Ohio legislation enabling video lottery will remain effective, subject to the success of other pending challenges described below.

The Ohio legislation enabling video lottery is also subject to a lawsuit filed on September 3, 2009, asserting that expanded gaming activities at racetracks violates the Ohio constitution and requires voter approval. The lawsuit alleges that slot machines are not lottery games that were approved by Ohio voters in authorizing the lottery and that the allocation of profits between the state of Ohio and racetracks violates Ohio constitutional provisions earmarking lottery proceeds for education. The Ohio legislation enabling video lottery is also subject to a lawsuit filed on September 14, 2009, asserting, in addition to the assertions in the prior two lawsuits, that the legislation is unconstitutional, because, among other things, it violates the Ohio constitution's requirements that no bill shall contain more than one subject, that every bill shall be considered by each House on three different days, that the state's credit not be used in aid of any individual, association or corporation, and that all proceeds generated by the lottery be used to support education in the state. If the Ohio Supreme Court determines that video lottery at Ohio racetracks violates the Ohio constitution, such activities would not be permitted without an amendment to the Ohio constitution approved by voter referendum. There can be no assurance that gaming activities will commence in Ohio, that the pending challenges will be unsuccessful or, that if either or both of such challenges are successful, that an amendment to the Ohio constitution would be approved or, if approved, of the timing of such approval or commencement of gaming activities at Ohio racetracks.

We timely filed our application for licensing of video gaming at Scioto Downs on September 15, 2009. On September 16, 2009, we received from the Director of the Ohio Lottery Commission a Notice of Intent to Issue a Conditional or Video Lottery License and a demand that we pay the first of five \$13 million licensing fee installments by the close of business that day. Because of the uncertainty surrounding the pending lawsuits challenging video lottery gaming at the Ohio racetracks and the absence of a clear statement in Ohio law that the installment payments would be timely refunded in the event the legal challenges to video lottery were successful, five of the seven applicants, including Scioto Downs, did not pay the first installment of the license fee. On September 30, 2009, citing the fact that the Ohio Supreme Court's September 21, 2009, decision made it a practical impossibility to use video lottery revenue in the state's current budget, and his intention to seek a declaratory judgment that the Ohio Lottery can implement video lottery without legislative approval, the governor of Ohio announced that the Ohio Lottery Commission would be returning all application fees and license fees previously paid by the state's racetrack owners.

On November 3, 2009, the voters of Ohio approved a proposal for a casino to be located in each of Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo, and Columbus. A casino in Columbus will increase competition at Scioto Downs. We intend to be proactive in our efforts to mitigate the effects of such competition, including continuing our efforts to introduce gaming at Scioto Downs as the Governor of Ohio has proposed, providing first-class customer service at all of our facilities, and further reducing our costs.

The Company will consider the potential for future gaming at Scioto Downs and the impact on the carrying value of the \$13.2 million intangible asset associated with the fair value of the racing licenses held by Scioto Downs. Such value reflected that the racing licenses would permit Scioto Downs to operate gaming if such legislation were passed.

Even if gaming is permitted at Scioto Downs following the resolution of the pending litigation and satisfaction of any applicable voter referendum requirements, the recent passage of a referendum permitting casino gaming may materially adversely affect our ability to obtain financing to pay the license fees and otherwise make necessary investments at Scioto Downs to permit gaming and comply with the conditions to licensing. Additionally, tax rates on the revenue from such casinos would be substantially lower than the tax rates proposed by the Governor of Ohio to be imposed on video lottery at the racetracks, resulting in a competitive advantage for the casinos. Such new competition may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Through November 9, 2009, we spent approximately \$9.7 million in the aggregate opposing the November 3 referendum. See "Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors—We face significant competition from other gaming

and racing facilities, and increased competition could have a material adverse effect on us; recent passage of a referendum authorizing four casinos in Ohio will create significant new competition."

Corporate Operating Results:

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, corporate general and administrative expenses were \$5.9 million compared to \$3.5 million during the same period of 2008. During the nine-month periods, corporate general and administrative expenses were \$9.7 million in 2009 compared to \$10.0 million in 2008. Significant factors contributing to the decrease in general and administrative expenses in 2009 were:

- incremental costs associated with strategic costs associated with lobbying and gaming efforts in Ohio aggregating \$4.1 million; offset by
- a decrease in compensation and benefits costs of \$0.5 million and \$1.5 million during the three- and ninemonth periods of 2009, respectively;
- a decrease in stock-based compensation costs of \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million during the three- and ninemonth periods of 2009, respectively;
- a decrease in deferred compensation costs of \$0.4 million; and
- other cost containment initiatives.

Depreciation Expense:

Depreciation expense remained consistent during the three-month period of 2009 compared to 2008. However, depreciation expense decreased by \$0.4 million during the nine-month period of 2009 primarily due to decreased depreciation for Mountaineer. Total depreciation expense was \$7.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and \$22.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Loss on Disposal of Property:

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Mountaineer incurred a loss of \$137,000 on the sale of a parcel of excess land holdings.

Interest:

Interest expense, net of interest income, increased by \$1.7 million to \$11.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009, compared to the same period of 2008. During the nine-month periods, interest expense, net of interest income, increased by \$0.6 million to \$31.3 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase is attributable to:

- incremental interest incurred on our \$250 million 12.625% Senior Secured Notes which proceeds were used to payoff \$100.9 million under our credit facility and our \$130 million 9.75% Senior Unsecured Notes;
- additional interest expense of \$0.6 million related to consent fees on our \$125 million 9% Senior Subordinated Notes; and
- increased amortization of deferred financing fees in the amount of \$0.6 million.

Loss on Debt Modification and Extinguishment:

During the third quarter of 2009, we incurred a loss on debt modification of approximately \$1.3 million resulting from an amendment to our senior secured credit agreement which modified certain aspects of the credit facility including a reduction of the borrowing commitment. As such, we were required to proportionately reduce the amount of existing deferred financing fees to reflect the reduction in borrowing capacity. The loss on debt modification reflected the write-off of deferred financing fees associated with the credit facility. In addition, as a result of the repurchase of our \$130 million 9.75% Senior Unsecured Notes on August 12, 2009, we incurred a loss on debt extinguishment of approximately \$1.5 million. The loss on debt extinguishment reflected the write-off of remaining deferred financing fees associated with the Senior Unsecured Notes and the payment of a consent fee to the holders of such notes.

As a result of an amendment to our senior secured credit agreement during 2008, which modified certain aspects of the credit facility including a reduction of the borrowing commitment and term, we were required to proportionately reduce the amount of existing deferred financing fees to reflect the reduction in borrowing capacity. In this regard, we incurred a loss on debt modification in the amount of \$3.4 million during the first quarter of 2008 resulting from the write-off of deferred financing fees.

Provision for Income Taxes:

Continuing Operations:

The income tax provision for continuing operations during 2009 was computed based on an effective income tax rate of 0.62% plus interest expense related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. The recorded income tax provision reflects a \$2.7 million adjustment to the effective income tax rate for the year-to-date period due to the impact of permanent non-deductible expenses, including amounts related to lobbying efforts in Ohio, relative to our pre-tax income and a reduction in our estimated annual pre-tax income. During 2009, we recognized approximately \$11,000 of interest expense (net of tax) related to uncertain tax positions.

The income tax provision for continuing operations during 2008 was computed based on an effective income tax rate of 31.1% plus interest expense related to uncertain tax positions of approximately \$82,000 (net of tax). In addition, the effective income tax rate for continuing operations during 2008 reflects amounts reclassified as discontinued operations.

Discontinued Operations:

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we obtained new information that caused us to reevaluate the recoverability of deferred tax assets aggregating approximately \$2.9 million associated with certain impairment losses recorded in 2008. As a result, we determined that it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets would be realized and a previously established valuation allowance of approximately \$2.9 million was reversed. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, the recorded income tax benefit for discontinued operations reflects the aforementioned valuation allowance that was recorded for certain impairment losses for which realization of the tax benefit could not be assured at that time.

Discontinued Operations:

North Metro (d/b/a Running Aces Harness Park) Operating Results:

In June 2004, our wholly-owned subsidiary, MTR-Harness, Inc., acquired a 50% interest in North Metro Harness Initiative, LLC (d/b/a Running Aces Harness Park), then a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southwest Casino Corporation. In early 2008, North Metro completed construction of a harness racetrack and card room on a 178.4-acre site approximately 30 miles northeast of Minneapolis,

Minnesota. Running Aces commenced live racing and simulcast operations (import and export) with pari-mutuel wagering on April 11, 2008, and opened a 50-table card room offering "non-banked" games (those in which the players play only against each other instead of against the house) on June 30, 2008.

North Metro's operations did not generate sufficient cash flow to service its indebtedness to Black Diamond Commercial Finance L.L.C. During the third and fourth quarters of 2008, we determined that there was substantial doubt as to whether we could recover our investment in North Metro. Accordingly, during the third and fourth quarters of 2008 we recorded impairment losses of \$8.7 million (for which a tax benefit could not be recognized at that time).

On April 3, 2009, we received notification that Black Diamond was pursuing legal action seeking (i) enforcement of our payment of the \$1 million guarantee of North Metro's indebtedness and certain additional costs, and (ii) foreclosure of our subsidiary's pledged equity interest in North Metro. Pursuant to a settlement agreement with Black Diamond executed on May 27, 2009, we relinquished our interest in North Metro (the value of which we had already written down to \$0) and paid \$1 million to satisfy our obligations under the guarantee, which is included within loss from discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Concurrently, we entered into a Signal and Consulting Agreement with North Metro pursuant to which North Metro paid us \$250,000 to provide consulting services with respect to its racing operations for a term of three years. On June 3, 2009, Black Diamond terminated the litigation with prejudice and we and Black Diamond executed mutual releases.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded equity losses in North Metro of approximately \$1.0 million. In addition, we recorded an income tax benefit of approximately \$2.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009, related to the realization of deferred tax assets associated with the impairment losses of \$8.7 million that were recorded in 2008. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded equity losses in North Metro of \$9.7 million and \$12.1 million, respectively.

The assets and liabilities of MTR-Harness, Inc. and its interest in North Metro have been reflected as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in our consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, and the operating results and cash flows have been reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Jackson Racing (d/b/a Jackson Harness Raceway):

On December 6, 2005, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Jackson Racing, Inc., acquired a 90% interest in Jackson Trotting Association, LLC, which operated Jackson Harness Raceway in Jackson, Michigan, and offered harness racing, simulcast wagering and casual dining. Since acquisition, Jackson Trotting has generated operating losses and was projecting further operating losses. Additionally, Jackson Trotting exhausted its operating funds, including funds provided by the Company.

During the third quarter of 2008, we performed an evaluation to determine whether the assets of Jackson Trotting were impaired. Jackson Trotting generated operating losses since acquisition, projected further operating losses and substantially exhausted its operating funds, including funds provided by the Company. Jackson Trotting's assets consisted primarily of a \$2.6 million intangible asset that represented the assigned value of the racing licenses held by Jackson Trotting. The value assigned to the racing licenses considered that the racing licenses permit Jackson Trotting to conduct live racing and simulcasting operations, and if legislative proposals in Michigan were passed, would permit Jackson Trotting to operate electronic gaming devices.

Based upon the projected operating losses, our inability to provide further funding to Jackson Trotting and an assessment of the potential for legislation permitting gaming operations at the racetracks in Michigan, we concluded that the Jackson Trotting intangible asset was impaired and, accordingly, recorded an impairment loss of \$2.6 million (\$2.1 million net of tax) during the third quarter of 2008.

On December 4, 2008, Jackson Trotting ceased the racing and simulcast wagering operations at Jackson Harness Raceway and surrendered its racing license to the Michigan Racing Commission.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we incurred pre-tax losses on the discontinued operations of Jackson in the amount of \$0.1 million. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded equity losses in North Metro of \$2.8 million and \$3.3 million, respectively.

The assets and liabilities of Jackson Racing, Inc. and its interest in Jackson Trotting have been reflected as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in our consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, and the operating results and cash flows have been reflected as discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Ramada Inn and Speedway Casino:

On June 3, 2008, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Speakeasy Gaming of Las Vegas, Inc., sold the gaming assets of the Ramada Inn and Speedway Casino to Lucky Lucy D, LLC in accordance with the terms of an Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement dated January 11, 2008. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Lucky Lucy paid \$2.0 million in cash for the gaming assets and is obligated to pay an additional amount of up to \$4.775 million subject to an earn-out provision based on the property's gross revenues over the four-year period that commenced January 11, 2008. In July 2009, Speakeasy Gaming of Las Vegas, Inc. assigned to the Company its right to any payment under the earn-out provision. Any proceeds that are received will be recorded as the amounts are realized. This sale was the second part of the transaction, the first part of which involved the sale of Speedway's real property to Ganaste LLC on January 11, 2008. A shareholder of Ganaste LLC is the sole owner of Lucky Lucy. Ganaste paid \$11.4 million in cash for the real property. On January 11, 2008, we recorded a gain on the sale of the real property in the amount of \$2.8 million, and on June 3, 2008, we recorded a gain on the sale of the gaming assets in the amount of \$1.2 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we earned pre-tax income on the discontinued operations of Speedway in the amount of \$2.5 million (inclusive of the \$2.8 million and \$1.2 million gains on the sale of the real property and gaming assets, respectively).

Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel:

On March 7, 2008, we sold 100% of the stock of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Speakeasy Gaming of Fremont, Inc., which owned and operated Binion's Gambling Hall & Hotel, and Speakeasy Fremont Experience Operating Company in accordance with the terms of a Stock Purchase Agreement dated June 26, 2007 (as subsequently amended), executed between the Company and TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc. ("TLC"). The transaction was subject to purchase price adjustments based on changes in the net working capital, certain capital expenditures between execution and closing, and a \$3.5 million working capital adjustment which remained with Binion's upon closing. On December 31, 2007, we recorded a loss of \$2.0 million to adjust the carrying value of Binion's assets to the anticipated proceeds, less costs to sell; and in January 2009, we settled the post-closing purchase price adjustment. Upon completion of the sale on March 7, 2008, and resolution of the purchase price adjustment, we incurred an additional loss on disposal of \$0.9 million during 2008.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we incurred a pre-tax loss on the discontinued operations of Binion's in the amount of \$0.3 million. During the nine-month periods, we incurred pre-tax losses of approximately \$0.9 million in 2009 and \$2.2 million in 2008 (inclusive of the additional losses on the sale of \$0.9 million).

In connection with our original acquisition of Binion's on March 11, 2004, we provided limited guarantees, which reduce each month as rental payments are made on certain land leases, some of which expired in March 2008 and March 2009, and two of which remain in effect. The two remaining guarantees expire in March 2010 and totaled approximately \$0.8 million at September 30, 2009. Since July 2009, TLC has paid only a portion of total monthly rent with respect to one of the leases we guarantee. Upon the demand of the landlord that we make monthly payments pursuant to our guarantee, we paid the amounts demanded (approximately \$228,000 in the aggregate as of September 30, 2009). These payments resulted in a charge to discontinued operations during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

On June 11, 2009, we settled certain accounts due between the Company and HHLV Management Company, LLC, an affiliate of Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., by finalizing a previous agreement in principle and paid HHLV Management Company approximately \$0.7 million, which represented \$1.75 million of purchase price adjustment less approximately \$1.1 million for other amounts HHLV Management Company owed us. This settlement resulted in a charge to discontinued operations of \$420,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

CASH FLOWS

Our operating activities produced \$34.7 million in cash flow during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to \$26.1 million during the same period of 2008. Current period non-cash expenses included \$25.8 million of depreciation and amortization and \$2.8 million in write-offs of deferred financing and other fees resulting from the modification and extinguishment of long-term debt. In 2008, operating activities included non-cash expenses of \$25.5 million of depreciation and amortization and \$3.4 million in write-offs of deferred financing fees resulting from modifications of long-term debt. Included in cash flows from operating activities for 2009 was \$0.3 million used in discontinued operations compared to \$9.6 million provided by discontinued operations for 2008. In 2008, cash flow provided by discontinued operations included \$12.1 million of equity in loss of North Metro Harness Initiative, LLC and a \$2.6 million impairment loss related to Jackson Trotting Association, LLC.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$9.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, comprised primarily of capital expenditures of \$10.7 million. During the nine-month period of 2008, net cash provided by investing activities was \$30.7 million. In 2008, we invested \$9.6 million in property and equipment and generated proceeds (net of closing and other costs) from the sale of Speedway and Binion's in the amounts of \$12.9 million and \$28.0 million, respectively. Included in cash flows from investing activities for 2008 was \$0.9 million used in discontinued operations.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$17.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared to \$53.1 million used in financing activities during the same period of 2008. Financing activities for 2009 included net proceeds of \$238.1 from the issuance of our \$250 million Senior Secured Notes, together with cash on hand, were utilized to repurchase our Senior Unsecured Notes for \$130.1 million, repay amounts outstanding of \$100.2 million under our senior secured revolving credit facility and pay various financing costs aggregating \$13.4 million. Other principal payments on long-term obligations aggregated \$11.7 million in 2009. Financing activities for 2008 included principal payments on long-term obligations aggregating \$50.0 million, which included \$27.6 million from the proceeds on the sale of Binion's. Included in cash flows from financing activities for 2008 was \$23,000 used in discontinued operations.

LIQUIDITY AND SOURCES OF CAPITAL

We had working capital of \$11.8 million as of September 30, 2009, and our unrestricted cash balance amounted to \$35.9 million. At September 30, 2009, the balances in bank accounts owned by Mountaineer's horsemen, but to which we contribute funds for racing purses, exceeded our purse payment obligations by \$1.0 million. This amount is available for payment of future purse obligations at our discretion and in accordance with the terms of its agreement with the Horsemen's Benevolent & Protective Association ("HBPA"). We also earn the interest on balances in these accounts.

On August 12, 2009, after previously receiving the required consents of our Senior Subordinated Note holders, we completed the offering of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 12.625% Senior Secured Notes due July 15, 2014, at an issue price of 95.248% of the principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes. The net proceeds of the sale of the Senior Secured Notes, together with cash on hand of approximately \$9.1 million, were utilized to:

- repurchase all of our outstanding \$130 million 9.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due April 1, 2010, that were tendered in connection with the cash tender offer and consent solicitation and pay the accrued and unpaid interest and consent fees in connection with the tender offer and consent solicitation;
- repay \$100.2 million outstanding under our existing senior secured revolving credit facility plus accrued and unpaid interest; and
- pay consent fees in connection with the solicitation of consents to certain amendments to the indenture governing the Senior Subordinated Notes.

Under the terms of the offer to purchase the Senior Unsecured Notes, holders who tendered their notes received \$1,005 per \$1,000 in principal amount validly tendered. We received tenders and consents from 100% of our \$130 million Senior Unsecured Notes. Under the terms of the Senior Subordinated Notes consent solicitation, holders of approximately \$124.8 million of the \$125 million 9% Senior Subordinated Notes who delivered consents received \$15 per \$1,000 in principal amount of the notes.

We incurred a pre-tax loss on the refinancing of approximately \$1.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

On October 13, 2009, we completed the offering of \$10 million in aggregate principal amount of 12.625% Senior Secured Notes due July 15, 2014, at an issue price of 96.000% of the principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes. The additional notes form a part of the same series as our previously issued and outstanding Senior Secured Notes. The net proceeds of this offering will be used for general corporate purposes.

The \$260 million 12.625% Senior Secured Notes will mature on July 15, 2014, with interest payable semi-annually on January 15 and July 15 of each year. On or after July 15, 2011, we may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at any time at redemption prices that will decrease from 106.313% for redemptions after July 15, 2011 to 103.156% after July 15, 2012 to 100% after July 15, 2013. In addition, if we experience certain change of control events (as defined in the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes), we must offer to repurchase the notes at 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Senior Secured Notes will be guaranteed fully and unconditionally on a senior secured second priority basis by current subsidiaries consisting of Mountaineer Park, Inc., Presque Isle Downs, Inc. and Scioto Downs, Inc., as well as future subsidiaries other than our immaterial subsidiaries, our unrestricted subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes) and Speakeasy Gaming of Las Vegas, Inc., MTR-Harness, Inc. and Jackson Racing, Inc. The Senior Secured Notes will be secured on a second priority basis (subject to permitted prior liens including borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility discussed below) by a security

interest in substantially all of the assets (other than excluded assets, including capital stock of our subsidiaries, cash and deposit accounts, certain real property, gaming licenses and certain gaming equipment that cannot be pledged pursuant to applicable law) of the Company and the guarantors.

Under the registration rights agreement applicable to the Senior Secured Notes, we are required to file an exchange offer registration statement within 90 days following the date of issuance of the Senior Secured Notes, to use commercially reasonable efforts to have the exchange offer registration statement declared effective within 180 days following the date of issuance of the Senior Secured Notes, and to consummate an offer to exchange the Senior Secured Notes for equivalent registered securities within 45 days of the registration statement being declared effective. In addition, under certain circumstances, we are required to file a shelf registration statement to cover resales of the Senior Secured Notes. The Securities and Exchange Commission has broad discretion to determine whether any registered exchange offer or shelf registration statement will be declared effective and may delay or deny the effectiveness of any such registration statement filed by us for a variety of reasons. We will be required to pay liquidated damages on the Senior Secured Notes if we fail to comply with certain requirements in connection with the exchange offer registration statement and, if applicable, a shelf registration statement. Failure to have any registration statement declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission could adversely affect the liquidity and value of the Senior Secured Notes.

On July 24, 2009, we executed the Limited Consent and Fifth Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Fifth Amendment"), dated as of July 15, 2009. The Fifth Amendment became effective, and its provisions were implemented, upon the completion of our refinancing of the Senior Unsecured Notes and voluntary prepayment of all amounts outstanding under the existing senior secured revolving credit facility (\$100.2 million at August 12, 2009). The Fifth Amendment amended the existing credit facility and provided for \$20.0 million of revolving borrowing capacity (the "Amended and Restated Credit Facility"). Commitments under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility were reduced by \$2.75 million in September 2009 and will reduce by an additional \$5.5 million in December 2009. In addition, the Amended and Restated Credit Facility requires us to permanently repay amounts outstanding, and reduce the commitment thereunder, with the proceeds from certain asset sales and insurance from events of loss, the incurrence of debt or sales of capital stock. The maturity date of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility is March 31, 2010. Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility bear interest at a margin of 5.0% above the base rate (i.e. prime), or 6.0% above the LIBOR rate, subject to specified floors on LIBOR and the base rate, as provided by the Amended and Restated Credit Facility.

As a result of the Fifth Amendment and the reduction in borrowing capacity, we were required to proportionately reduce the amount of existing deferred financing costs. Consequently, we recorded a write-off of deferred financing costs of approximately \$1.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. (A Sixth Amendment, also executed in August of 2009, relates to assignment of the loan among the Company's secured creditors in certain circumstances.)

On October 13, 2009, we executed the Seventh Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Seventh Amendment"). The Seventh Amendment permitted the sale of the \$10 million of additional 12.625% Senior Secured Notes and reduced the borrowing capacity from \$20 million to \$10 million, subject to the mandatory commitment reduction of \$5.5 million in December 2009. As a result of the Seventh Amendment and the reduction in borrowing capacity, we were required to proportionately reduce the amount of existing deferred financing costs resulting in a loss on debt modification of approximately \$350,000.

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility includes certain financial and other covenants set forth therein. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility contains an interest coverage ratio of 1.50 to 1.00, a secured leverage ratio of 4.00 to 1.00 to September 30, 2009, and 3.75 to 1.00 from October 1, 2009,

and a priority senior secured leverage ratio of 0.50 to 1.00. Each such ratio is measured on a quarterly basis. We maintained compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2009. Although we anticipate that we will maintain compliance with these covenants for the remaining quarter in the year ending December 31, 2009, failure to meet these financial tests could result in a demand for the acceleration of repayment of amounts outstanding under the credit facility and would have a material adverse effect on our financial position and could raise substantial doubts as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility also includes restrictions on our ability to make capital expenditures; changes in the nature of our businesses; sale of all or a substantial or material portions of our assets; mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, reorganizations and recapitalizations; investments; additional indebtedness; guarantees; leases; dividends and distributions; liens; debt repayments; sale-leasebacks; lease expenditures; and transactions with affiliates. A payment default or an acceleration of indebtedness in excess of \$10.0 million as a result of an event of default under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility would give rise to an event of default under the indentures governing the Senior Secured Notes and the Senior Subordinated Notes which would entitle the holders of the notes to exercise the remedies provided in the indentures, subject to the restrictions set forth in the inter-creditor agreement between the Company, note holders and banks participating in the Amended and Restate Credit Facility.

Obligations under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility are guaranteed by each of our operating subsidiaries. Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the subsidiary guarantees are secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of the subsidiary guarantors. Future subsidiaries will be required to enter into similar pledge agreements and guarantees.

Commencing in the second quarter of 2008 and until the Senior Subordinated Notes are no longer outstanding, we are required to pay consent fees of \$5.00 per \$1,000 of principal to the holders of our Senior Subordinated Notes if we do not satisfy certain quarterly financial ratios. We have not met these ratios and therefore recorded additional expense of \$625,000 and \$1,875,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. We also anticipate that we will have to pay these fees in the fourth quarter of 2009.

With the completion of our refinancing transactions, we expect that we will fund our liquidity needs with cash on hand, cash flow from operations, anticipated proceeds from the sale of non-core assets and availability under our \$10.0 million revolving Amended and Restated Credit Facility. However, as stated previously, the borrowing capacity under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility will be reduced by \$5.5 million in December 2009, and will mature on March 31, 2010. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain a replacement revolving credit facility on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow in the future and enter into a replacement credit facility, we may be unable to fund our operations or satisfy our debt obligations. Further, if we are unable to raise additional capital, including replacing our Amended and Restated Credit Facility, we may be unable to make timely payments toward license fees and other required expenditures if video gaming at Ohio racetracks is permitted or table gaming expansion occurs in Pennsylvania, which could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity position, business, financial condition and results of operations.

At September 30, 2009, there were no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility except for letters of credit for approximately \$1.1 million (which reduced to \$0.6 million in October 2009). At December 31, 2008, borrowings of \$101.9 million and letters of credit for approximately \$1.5 million were outstanding under the credit facility.

After giving effect to the financing transaction consummated by the Company subsequent to September 30, 2009, we would have total debt in aggregate principal amount of \$395.8 million, \$270.8 million in aggregate principal amount which would have been secured. Our substantial debt could have significant effects on our business. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Capital Structure" which is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as other recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The following contractual cash obligations have been updated from those which were disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 to reflect the refinancing transaction discussed above (see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Sources of Capital in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).

	Total	Less than 1 year (un	1-3 years	3-5 years llions)	More than 5 years
Contractual cash obligations: Long-term debt and capital					
lease obligations	\$ 385.8	\$ 8.7	\$ 126.7	\$ 250.4	\$ —
Total	\$ 385.8	\$ 8.7	\$ 126.7	\$ 250.4	\$

Capital Expenditures:

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, additions to property and equipment and other capital projects for continuing operations aggregated \$10.7 million. Expenditures included approximately \$6.2 million related to upgrading slot machine and related gaming equipment at Mountaineer and \$3.0 million related to on-going construction projects (including \$2.0 million related to the construction of grooms' quarters at Presque Isle Downs). We anticipate spending up to a total of approximately \$16.7 million during 2009 on capital expenditures. At September 30, 2009, approximately \$2.9 million of liabilities is outstanding relating to construction, equipment and development. We anticipate that this amount and our capital requirements for 2009, will be financed with cash on hand, cash flow from operations, proceeds from the sale of non-core assets and excess land holdings, and, to the extent necessary, availability under our credit facility.

Commitments and Contingencies:

On July 13, 2009, the governor of Ohio signed an executive order directing the Ohio Lottery to take action to implement, and the Ohio legislature approved a budget bill enabling, video lottery terminals at Ohio's seven horse tracks, including Scioto Downs. On July 20, 2009, an action was filed with the Ohio Supreme Court seeking a writ of mandamus to compel the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio to treat the video lottery provisions of the budget bill as subject to voter referendum, claiming that the video lottery provisions of the budget bill are subject to voter referendum because they constitute a permanent change in law that is not exempt from the referendum requirements contained in the Ohio constitution. On September 21, 2009, the Ohio Supreme Court issued an opinion finding that the video lottery provisions of the budget bill are subject to voter referendum. The Ohio Supreme Court also granted the plaintiffs an extension to December 20, 2009 to circulate a petition that, if signed by a sufficient number of voters, would give Ohio voters the right to overturn the provisions of the Ohio legislation permitting video lottery and effectively determine whether video gaming will be permitted at Ohio racetracks. The petition requires approximately 241,365 signatures to put the referendum on the ballot. If the necessary signatures are obtained to bring the measure to ballot, the vote on gaming at racetracks in Ohio is unlikely to occur prior to November 2010 and there can be no assurance that the requisite voter approval will be obtained or, if obtained, when gaming would commence at Scioto Downs. If the necessary signatures for the referendum petition are not obtained, the Ohio legislation enabling video lottery will remain effective, subject to the success of other pending challenges described below.

The Ohio legislation enabling video lottery is also subject to a lawsuit filed on September 3, 2009 asserting that expanded gaming activities at racetracks violates the Ohio constitution and requires voter approval. The lawsuit alleges that slot machines are not lottery games that were approved by Ohio voters in authorizing the lottery and that the allocation of profits between the state of Ohio and

racetracks violates Ohio constitutional provisions earmarking lottery proceeds for education. The Ohio legislation enabling video lottery is also subject to a lawsuit filed on September 14, 2009 asserting, in addition to the assertions in the prior two lawsuits, that the legislation is unconstitutional, because, among other things, it violates the Ohio constitution's requirements that no bill shall contain more than one subject, that every bill shall be considered by each House on three different days, that the state's credit not be used in aid of any individual, association or corporation, and that all proceeds generated by the lottery be used to support education in the state. If the Ohio Supreme Court determines that video lottery at Ohio racetracks violates the Ohio constitution, such activities would not be permitted without an amendment to the Ohio constitution approved by voter referendum. There can be no assurance that gaming activities will commence in Ohio, that the pending challenges will be unsuccessful or, that if either or both of such challenges are successful, that an amendment to the Ohio constitution would be approved or, if approved, of the timing of such approval or commencement of gaming activities at Ohio racetracks.

We timely filed our application for licensing of video gaming at Scioto Downs on September 15, 2009. On September 16, 2009, we received from the Director of the Ohio Lottery Commission a Notice of Intent to Issue a Conditional or Video Lottery License and a demand that we pay the first of five \$13 million licensing fee installments by the close of business that day. Because of the uncertainty surrounding the pending lawsuits challenging video lottery gaming at the Ohio racetracks and the absence of a clear statement in Ohio law that the installment payments would be timely refunded in the event the legal challenges to video lottery were successful, five of the seven applicants, including Scioto Downs, did not pay the first installment of the license fee. On September 30, 2009, citing the fact that the Ohio Supreme Court's September 21, 2009 decision made it a practical impossibility to use video lottery revenue in the state's current budget, and his intention to seek a declaratory judgment that the Ohio Lottery can implement video lottery without legislative approval, the governor of Ohio announced that the Ohio Lottery Commission would be returning all application fees and license fees previously paid by the state's racetrack owners.

On November 3, 2009, the voters of Ohio approved a proposal for a casino to be located in each of Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo, and Columbus. A casino in Cleveland will increase competition at both Mountaineer Casino and Presque Isle Downs commencing approximately in 2013. A casino in Columbus will increase competition at Scioto Downs. We intend to be proactive in our efforts to mitigate the effects of such competition, including continuing our efforts to introduce gaming at Scioto Downs as the Governor of Ohio has proposed and table gaming at Presque Isle Downs, providing first-class customer service at all of our facilities, and further reducing our costs.

Even if gaming is permitted at Scioto Downs following the resolution of the pending litigation and satisfaction of any applicable voter referendum requirements, the recent passage of a referendum permitting casino gaming may materially adversely affect our ability to obtain financing to pay the license fees and otherwise make necessary investments at Scioto Downs to permit gaming and comply with the conditions to licensing. Additionally, tax rates on the revenue from such casinos would be substantially lower than the tax rates proposed by the Governor of Ohio to be imposed on video lottery at the racetracks, resulting in a competitive advantage for the casinos. Such new competition may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Through November 9, 2009, we spent approximately \$9.7 million in the aggregate opposing the November 3 referendum. See "Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors—We face significant competition from other gaming and racing facilities, and increased competition could have a material adverse effect on us; recent passage of a referendum authorizing four casinos in Ohio will create significant new competition."

The Company intends to engage a real estate broker and review its non-operating land holdings to determine properties that should be considered for sale. Properties that are to be disposed of or considered held for sale will be reviewed to determine if an impairment in value is indicated based

4	1

upon fair value estimates received from the independent broker. Impairment will be measured based on a comparison of the carrying value of the property to its fair value less costs of disposal.

Jackson Harness Raceway is located on property leased from Jackson County, Michigan on the Jackson County Fairgrounds through December 31, 2012. Rent included certain base amounts, subject to annual increases, as well as percentages of live and simulcasting pari-mutuel wagering handle. The minimum combined live and simulcast rental was \$85,000. We were also required to make certain capital expenditures during the course of the lease. On July 21, 2009, we entered into a Termination, Release and Settlement Agreement with the County of Jackson, Michigan whereby (i) Jackson Trotting and Jackson County terminated the fairgrounds lease, (ii) Jackson Trotting was released from all obligations under the lease, (iii) Jackson Trotting surrendered title of all owned property to Jackson County, and (iv) Jackson Trotting paid a settlement of \$20,000 to Jackson County for consideration of the release. The amount of the reduction of the lease contractual obligation resulting from the Termination, Release and Settlement Agreement with the County of Jackson, Michigan approximated the value of property surrendered to Jackson County, or otherwise considered non-recoverable.

In connection with our original acquisition of Binion's on March 11, 2004, we provided limited guarantees, which reduce each month as rental payments are made on certain land leases, some of which expired in March 2008 and March 2009, and two of which remain in effect. The two remaining guarantees expire in March 2010 and totaled approximately \$0.8 million at September 30, 2009. TLC remains obligated to use its reasonable best efforts to assist us in obtaining releases of these guarantees, to pay the rent underlying the leases we guaranty on a timely basis, and to indemnify us in the even we are required to pay the land lease obligations pursuant to the guarantees.

Since July 2009, TLC has paid only a portion of total monthly rent with respect to one of the leases we guarantee. Upon the demand of the landlord that we make monthly payments pursuant to our guarantee, we paid the amounts demanded (approximately \$228,000 in the aggregate), thus curing the events of default. We have demanded reimbursement from TLC, and on August 5, 2009 commenced legal action for indemnification pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement. On October 27, 2009, we reached a settlement with TLC whereby TLC agreed to confess judgment as to amounts we paid and amounts that may be paid by us through the expiration of the guarantees, certain legal fees and interest at the rate of 10% on amounts actually paid by us with respect to the rental payments. We agreed to forbear from enforcing the judgment for two years, provided however that the forbearance will terminate under certain conditions, including if TLC fails to timely make any of the agreed payments or there is a change in control of TLC. During the forbearance period, TLC is also obligated to make payments in partial satisfaction of the judgment in the event TLC raises any capital through debt or equity.

In connection with planned infrastructure improvements at Presque Isle Downs & Casino, we were required to establish an escrow deposit in 2006 for the benefit of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation of approximately \$5.0 million. Approximately \$4.0 million was returned to us through 2008. At September 30, 2009, the deposit amounted to approximately \$1.0 million, which is expected to be returned to us by November 2009.

Upon commencement of slot operations at Presque Isle Downs & Casino, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board (the "PGCB") advised Presque Isle Downs that it would receive a one time assessment of \$0.8 million required of each slot machine licensee after commencement of gaming operations. These funds are a prepayment toward the total borrowings of the PGCB, Pennsylvania Department of Revenue and the Pennsylvania State Police (collectively "the borrowers"), required to fund the costs incurred as a result of gaming operations. Once all of Pennsylvania's fourteen slot machine licensees are operational, the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue will assess all licensees, including Presque Isle Downs, their proportionate share of the total borrowings incurred by the

borrowers, as a result of gaming operations. The amount to be assessed to Presque Isle Downs is unknown at this time but is likely to exceed the \$0.8 million that was previously advanced.

In January 2008, we entered into an agreement, as subsequently amended, to sell three acres associated with an off-track wagering facility in Erie, Pennsylvania that we purchased in 2007. Through September 30, 2009, we received an aggregate of \$650,000 in non-refundable deposits from the purchaser. Under the provisions of the sale agreement, the transaction is expected to close no later than December 31, 2009.

We have entered into an agreement with the Summit Township Industrial and Economic Development Authority ("STIEDA") pursuant to which the Authority has agreed to apply to Erie County for certain grants contemplated by the gaming act, which would be used to fund, initially, up to \$14.4 million of agreed upon on- and off-site infrastructure improvements. STIEDA has submitted applications to Eric County for the funds subject to the agreement. However, to date such funds have not been released and STIEDA has filed litigation against Erie County to force it to make distributions to fund the submitted grant requests. Erie County had taken the position that the gaming act did not permit or require distributions to municipalities, such as Summit Township, to defray infrastructure costs incident to hosting a casino. On August 4, 2008, the Erie County Court of Common Pleas ruled in favor of STIEDA and ordered Erie County to distribute certain revenue collected from casino operations to fund proper grant requests. Specifically, the court ruled that the County, through its revenue authority must distribute "restricted funds," as defined in the Gaming Act "to fund the costs of human services, infrastructure improvements, facilities, emergency services, or health and public safety expenses associated solely with the operation of Presque Isle Downs & Casino." STIEDA submitted the grant request to the newly formed Erie County Gaming Revenue Authority ("ECGRA"), seeking reimbursement for such qualifying infrastructure improvements as roads and bridges incident to the operation of Presque Isle Downs. In March 2009, based on the ECGRA's conclusion that STIEDA's grant request did not satisfy the Court's standard, Eric County adopted an ordinance for the distribution of the restricted funds that effectively denied STIEDA's grant application. We believe that the County acted arbitrarily and in violation of the Court's August 4, 2008 order. We requested that STIEDA appeal the ECGRA's determination, which STIEDA declined to do. We are currently evaluating our options with respect to further pursuit of these reimbursements. including requesting that STIEDA re-apply with respect to the current year's local share of slot revenue.

In October 2004, we acquired 229 acres of real property, known as the International Paper site, as an alternative site to build Presque Isle Downs. In October 2005, we sold all but approximately 24 acres of this site for \$4.0 million to the Greater Erie Industrial Development Corporation, a private, not-for-profit entity that is managed by the municipality (the "GEIDC"). Although the sales agreement was subject to, among other things, our affirmative release (by International Paper Company and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (the "PaDEP") from our obligations under the consent order (as discussed below), we waived this closing condition.

In connection with our acquisition of the International Paper site, we entered into a consent order and decree (the "Consent Order") with the PaDep and International Paper insulating the company from liability for certain pre-existing contamination, subject to compliance with the Consent Order, which included a proposed environmental remediation plan for the site, which was tied specifically to the use of the property as a racetrack. The proposed environmental remediation plan in the Consent Order was based upon a "baseline environmental report" and management estimated that such remediation would be subsumed within the cost of developing the property as a racetrack. The racetrack was never developed. The GEIDC assumed primary responsibility for the remediation obligations under the Consent Order relating to the property they acquired (approximately 205 acres). The GEIDC has agreed to indemnify us for the breach of its obligations under the Consent Order. However, we have been advised by the PaDEP that we have not been released from liability and responsibility under the Consent Order. The GEIDC has begun the necessary remediation activities. A

revised estimate of the remaining remediation costs cannot be determined at this time. We also purchased an Environmental Risk Insurance Policy in the amount of \$10 million expiring in 2014 with respect to the property.

The GEIDC has claimed that Presque Isle Downs is obligated to supply approximately 50,500 cubic yards of "clean fill dirt" for the parcel of land of the International Paper site that was previously sold to the GEIDC. Presque Isle Downs has taken the position that it has no such obligation because (i) any such requirement contained in the sales agreement was merged into the deed delivered at the time of the sale; and (ii) the GEIDC had expressly waived this requirement.

As disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2008, we previously had a deferred compensation agreement with Edson R. Arneault, in his capacity as our former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, we had purchased split-dollar life insurance policies on Mr. Arneault's life (face amount of \$4.8 million and annual premium of \$150,000). The Company is the owner and beneficiary of the policies. As a result of an amendment to the deferred compensation agreement and Mr. Arneault's departure from the Company on October 31, 2008 prior to reaching the age of sixty-five (65), we believe we have no liability to Mr. Arneault under the aforementioned agreement, although the Company has continued to make premium payments under the insurance policies. On July 24, 2009, however, we received written notification from counsel to Mr. Arneault alleging that Mr. Arneault is entitled to benefits under the agreement. On October 8, 2009, Mr. Arneault filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of Hancock County, West Virginia, which named as defendants the Company, its affiliates and various other parties. The complaint does not specify a damage amount sought from the defendants. The complaint alleged, among other things, that the Company was required to continue to pay annual premiums on insurance policies under the deferred compensation and employment agreements between the Company and Mr. Arneault. In conjunction with Mr. Arneault's departure from the Company on October 31, 2008, the Company recorded the obligation with respect to the insurance premiums and the Company has continued to pay such premiums as they become due and payable. We believe that the legal action filed by Mr. Ameault is without merit and intend to vigorously defend this matter.

We are faced with certain contingencies involving litigation and environmental remediation and compliance. These commitments and contingencies are discussed in greater detail in "Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings".

New competition may have a material adverse effect on our revenues, and could have a similar adverse effect on our liquidity. See "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business" in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as other recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, for a description of certain circumstances that may affect our sources of liquidity.

Our level of indebtedness and our working capital present other risks to investors, including the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on our indebtedness when due; and that we may not be able to meet tests and covenants of such debt agreements and achieve satisfactory resolution of such non-compliance with the lenders. In such an event, the holders of our indebtedness may be able to declare all indebtedness owing to them to be due and payable immediately, and proceed against any collateral securing such indebtedness. These actions could limit our ability to borrow additional funds and would likely have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Additionally, changes in the regulatory environment or restriction on or prohibition of our gaming or racing operations, whether arising out of legislation or litigation, could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity. See "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business" in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year

ended December 31, 2008, as well as other recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, for a description of certain circumstances that may affect our sources of liquidity.

We also cannot assure you that estimates of our liquidity needs are accurate or that new business developments or other unforeseen events will not occur, resulting in the need to raise additional funds and increased difficulties with respect to our ability to raise such funds. See "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business" in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as other recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, for a description of certain circumstances that may affect our sources of liquidity.

We believe that our cash balances, cash flow from operations, anticipated proceeds from the sale of non-core assets and availability under our credit facility (approximately \$9.4 million as of October 31, 2009, subject to mandatory scheduled commitment reductions of \$5.5 million in December 2009 and maturity March 31, 2010) will be sufficient to cover any capital required to fund maturing debt obligations and any other contemplated capital expenditures and short-term funding requirements for the next twelve months, with the exception of amounts required for the licensing and construction of a video lottery facility at Scioto Downs if permitted by law and table game expansion in Pennsylvania. The conditions applicable to video gaming at Ohio racetracks, which are subject to possible voter referendum and various legal challenges. were expected to require the payment of a \$65 million license fee, an investment of \$80 million in the gaming facilities over a five year period and that the State of Ohio retain 50% of the revenues from video lottery terminals. We intend to lobby for lower licensing fees and taxes in light of the anticipated competition from casinos authorized by the November 3 referendum, but we cannot assure you that we will be successful. We may also be required to share a portion of our revenues with horse owners and trainers at Scioto Downs. We will require additional financing to, among other things, pay required license fees and fund the expansion and improvement of the Scioto Downs facilities to comply with licensing requirements and accommodate video lottery gaming if it is ultimately successful. The indenture governing the new Senior Secured Notes, the indenture governing the Senior Subordinated Notes and the Amended and Restated Credit Facility limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness and pay the required license fees. We would be required to seek the consent of the lenders under our existing Amended and Restated Credit Facility to incur the indebtedness necessary to fund our cash needs in connection with commencing slot gaming at Scioto Downs and to sell equity securities without repaying amounts outstanding under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. We cannot assure you that such lenders would grant the necessary consents. While the indentures for the new Senior Secured Notes and the Senior Subordinated Notes, as recently amended, will allow us to incur indebtedness to fund development of future gaming operations, like slot gaming at Scioto Downs and table gaming in Pennsylvania, the indentures governing those notes require us to obtain equity financing in the amount of 40% and 50%, respectively, of the total financed costs in excess of the permitted indebtedness. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the necessary debt or equity financing on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all.

Outstanding Options:

As of November 9, 2009, there were outstanding options to purchase 1,309,500 shares of our common stock. If all such stock options were exercised, we would receive proceeds of approximately \$9.6 million. We utilize the treasury stock method in determining the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options. Our basic earnings per share is computed as net income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options and other convertible securities utilizing the treasury stock method. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted to include the potentially dilutive effect of these occurrences. Options to purchase 1,309,500

45

shares and 1,535,800 shares of common stock were outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, but were not included in the computation of dilutive earnings per share because the effect would be anti-dilutive.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to changes in interest rates primarily from our variable rate long-term debt arrangements. However, with the issuance of the fixed rate Senior Secured Notes in August and October 2009 and Senior Subordinated Notes in May 2006, our exposure to interest rate changes is limited to amounts outstanding under our Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, as amended, subject to mandatory scheduled commitment reductions (See "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—*Liquidity and Sources of Capital*" which is included elsewhere in this report).

Depending upon the amounts outstanding under our Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, as amended, a hypothetical 100 basis point (1%) change in interest rates would result in an annual interest expense change of up to approximately \$100,000.

At September 30, 2009, the fair value of our senior secured revolving credit facility and other long-term debt approximates the carrying value, except for our \$250 million Senior Secured Notes and \$125 million Senior Subordinated Notes for which the fair value was determined based upon market quotes. The aggregate fair value of the Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes was \$338.5 million at September 30, 2009.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

We have established and maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized, evaluated and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q Quarterly Report (the "Evaluation Date"). They have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, evaluated and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms.

(b) Changes in internal controls.

There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the above evaluation that occurred during the period covered by this Form 10-Q Quarterly Report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Gary Birzer and Amy Birzer v. MTR Gaming Group, Inc. and Mountaineer Park, Inc, et. al, Civil Action No. 06-C-2-W, Circuit Court of Hancock County, West Virginia. On January 17, 2006, Gary Birzer, a jockey who was injured during a race at Mountaineer in July 2004, filed a first amended complaint in which he alleges that Mountaineer was negligent in its design, construction and maintenance of the racetrack as well as in its administration of races. Mr. Birzer sought medical expenses to date of \$550,000, future medical expenses, unspecified lost wages and other damages resulting from his injuries. Mr. Birzer sought in excess of \$10 million in damages. Mr. Birzer's wife sought \$2 million for loss of consortium. On August 27, 2009, we settled the Birzers' claims, which were covered and paid under our general liability insurance policy, and the case was dismissed with prejudice.

On October 8, 2009, Edson R. Arneault, former Chairman, President and CEO of the Company, initiated a legal action which named as defendants the Company, and certain of its affiliates and various other parties regarding a dispute under Mr. Arneault's deferred compensation agreement and employment agreements. The complaint, filed in the Circuit Court of Hancock County, West Virginia, does not specify a damage amount sought from the defendants. The complaint alleged, among other things, that the Company is required to continue to pay annual premiums on certain insurance policies under the deferred compensation and employment agreements between the Company and Mr. Arneault. In conjunction with Mr. Arneault's departure from the Company on October 31, 2008, the Company recorded the obligation with respect to the insurance premiums and the Company has continued to pay such premiums as they become due and payable. The Company is the owner and beneficiary of the policies. It is our position that we no longer have a liability to Mr. Arneault under the aforementioned agreement. We believe that the legal action filed by Mr. Arneault is without merit and intend to vigorously defend this matter.

We are also a party to various lawsuits, which have arisen in the normal course of our business. The liability arising from unfavorable outcomes of those lawsuits is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. Legal matters are discussed in greater detail in "Item 3. Legal Proceedings" and Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial statements included in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Risk Factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and on Form 10-O for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, are updated and supplemented as follows:

We face significant competition from other gaming and racing facilities, and increased competition could have a material adverse effect on us; recent passage of a referendum authorizing four casinos in Ohio will create significant new competition.

Gaming Operations. We face substantial competition in each of the markets in which our gaming facilities are located. Some of the competitors have significantly greater name recognition and financial and marketing resources than we do; some are permitted to conduct additional forms of gaming; and some pay substantially lower taxes than we do, which may permit them to spend more for marketing and promotions and thus gain a competitive advantage over us. All of our gaming operations primarily compete with other gaming operations in their geographic areas. New expansion and development activity is occurring in each of the relevant markets. These factors, as well as the legalization of other forms of gaming, such as casino table gaming, in the markets in which our gaming facilities are located, may intensify competitive pressures and could have a material adverse effect on us. For example, video

lottery at racetracks in Ohio pursuant to the recent executive order and legislation approving such gaming activities would compete with Mountaineer and Presque Isle Downs, and new gaming operations in Ohio as a result of the November 3, 2009 referendum will also compete with Mountaineer and Presque Isle Downs and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For the month of September 2009, approximately 72% of the total amount played in Mountaineer's slot machines was attributable to customers from Ohio and approximately 49% of the total amount played in Presque Isle Downs' slot machines was attributable to customers from Ohio. Specifically, 10% of amounts played at Mountaineer's slot machines and 23% of the amount played at Presque Isle Downs in September 2009 was attributable to customers from Cuyahoga County, Ohio, which is the location of one of the planned casinos and Thistledown, one of the racetracks that is expected to offer slot gaming, and is near Northfield Park, another racetrack expected to offer slot gaming. As a result, we expect that future gaming operations at the downtown Cleveland casino, Thistledown and Northfield Park would compete with gaming operations at Mountaineer and Presque Isle Downs. In addition, gaming operations at the casino planned for Columbus and Beulah Park, a harness racetrack in Columbus, Ohio, would compete with gaming operations at Scioto Downs. While we believe that the approval of slot gaming at Scioto Downs may positively impact our financial condition and results of operations, we also expect that such gaming activity, as well as gaming activity at the planned Ohio casinos, will negatively impact our results of operations at Mountaineer and Presque Isle Downs and that such negative impact may be material.

The November 3, 2009 referendum permits a casino in each of Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo and Columbus. A casino in Cleveland will compete with gaming operations at both Mountaineer and Presque Isle Downs and a casino in Columbus will compete with Scioto Downs. Each casino may have up to 5,000 slot machines as well as any other casino games authorized in any state that borders Ohio. Accordingly, we expect these casinos to create significant new competition. In addition, even if gaming is permitted at Scioto Downs following the resolution of the pending litigation and satisfaction of any applicable voter referendum requirements, the recent passage of the November 3, 2009 referendum permitting casino gaming may materially adversely affect our ability to obtain financing, to pay the license fees and otherwise make necessary investments at Scioto Downs to permit gaming and comply with the conditions to licensing. Additionally, tax rates on the revenue from such casinos would be substantially lower than the tax rates proposed by the Governor of Ohio to be imposed on video lottery at the racetracks, resulting in a competitive advantage for the casinos. Such new competition may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

In West Virginia, Mountaineer continues to face competition from limited video lottery machines ("LVLs") in local bars and fraternal organizations. The law authorizes up to 9,000 slot machines in adults-only facilities throughout West Virginia. No more than five slot machines are allowed in each establishment licensed to sell alcoholic beverages; and no more than ten slot machines are allowed in each licensed fraternal organization. In addition, Pennsylvania's slot machine law contemplates the installation of slot machines at up to fourteen locations: (i) seven racetracks (including Presque Isle Downs) each with up to 3,000 slots initially and with the ability to apply to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board ("PGCB") for up to 5,000 slots (six of which, including Presque Isle Downs, are currently operating); (ii) five stand-alone slot parlors with up to 5,000 slots (three of which have opened); and (iii) two resort locations with up to 500 slots each. In June 2007, The Meadows Racetrack & Casino, a harness racetrack approximately 40 miles southeast of Mountaineer, opened its temporary slots casino with 1,825 slot machines, and in April 2009 opened its permanent casino with over 3,700 slot machines and various food and beverage outlets. In August of 2009, The Rivers Casino opened with 3,000 slot machines and four food and beverage outlets in downtown Pittsburgh, approximately a one-hour drive from Mountaineer and a two-hour drive from Presque Isle Downs. In September 2009, 6% of the amounts played at Mountaineer's slot machines and 1% of the amounts played at Presque Isle Downs' slot machines was attributable to customers from Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, the county in which the Rivers Casino is located. Additionally, in September 2007, the

Pennsylvania Harness Horse Racing Commission granted a license to build Valley View Downs in Lawrence County, Pennsylvania, approximately 45 miles from Mountaineer and 90 miles from Presque Isle Downs; and in November 2007, Valley View applied to the PGCB for the final Category 1 racetrack slot machine license. The Gaming Control Board has taken no action on the application, and in September 2008, the owners of the project announced that they had no financing for the project and intended to sell the opportunity. On October 28, 2009, Valley View filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection and its parent corporation, Centaur, Inc., defaulted on its \$492 million debt, the effect of which on the Valley View project is unknown. Mountaineer (and to a lesser extent, Presque Isle Downs) compete with The Rivers Casino for slot patrons. Likewise, if Valley View Downs obtains a slot license and successfully opens a slot facility, it too would represent new competition for Mountaineer and, to a lesser extent, Presque Isle Downs.

Presque Isle Downs competes principally with the Seneca Allegany Casino & Hotel in Salamanca, New York, approximately seventy-five miles away. That facility has approximately 2,300 slot machines, 40 table games, and a 212-room hotel with resort amenities. All of our gaming operations also compete to a lesser extent with operations in other locations, including Native American lands, and with other forms of legalized gaming in the United States, including state-sponsored lotteries, on- and off- track wagering, high-stakes bingo, card parlors, and the emergence of Internet gaming. In addition, casinos in Canada have likewise recently begun advertising in our target markets.

Racing and Pari-mutuel Operations. Mountaineer's racing and pari-mutuel operations compete directly for wagering dollars with Wheeling Downs, which is located approximately 50 miles from Mountaineer; Thistledown and Northfield Park, which are located approximately 85 miles to the northwest of Mountaineer in Cleveland, Ohio; and The Meadows, located approximately 40 miles southeast of Mountaineer in Washington, Pennsylvania. Wheeling Downs conducts pari-mutuel greyhound racing and casino gaming. Thistledown and Northfield Park conduct pari-mutuel horse racing but not video lottery or slot gaming. The Meadows conducts live harness racing, simulcasting and slot gaming. Mountaineer would also compete for pari-mutuel wagering patrons with Valley View Downs in Lawrence County, Pennsylvania, approximately 45 miles from Mountaineer, if it is constructed and opened. Since commencing export simulcasting in August 2000, Mountaineer competes with racetracks across the country to have its signal carried by off-track wagering parlors. Mountaineer also competes for wagering dollars with off-track wagering facilities in Ohio and Pennsylvania, and competes with other tracks for participation by quality racehorses.

Presque Isle Downs faces competition from other racetracks in Pennsylvania and off-track wagering facilities in Pennsylvania and West Virginia, as well as from casinos in Western New York. Presque Isle Downs will also compete with Valley View Downs if it is constructed and opens.

Scioto Downs competes primarily with Beulah Park, a harness racetrack also located in Columbus, Ohio (although currently Scioto Downs and Beulah Park do not operate on the same dates), and to a lesser extent, casino gambling in Indiana and Michigan. Further, Scioto Downs faces competition from off-track wagering facilities in Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

Increased competition may require the us to make substantial capital expenditures to maintain and enhance the competitive positions of our properties, including updating slot machines to reflect changing technology, refurbishing rooms and public service areas periodically, replacing obsolete equipment on an ongoing basis, and making other expenditures to increase the attractiveness and add to the appeal of our properties, including increased marketing and promotions.

In a broader sense, our gaming operations face competition from all manner of leisure and entertainment activities, including shopping, athletic events, television and movies, concerts and travel. Increased competition from other gaming and racing facilities and other leisure and entertainment activities could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The future of slot gaming and video lottery at Scioto Downs is uncertain.

On July 13, 2009, the governor of Ohio signed an executive order directing the Ohio Lottery to take action to implement, and the Ohio legislature approved a budget bill enabling, video lottery terminals at Ohio's seven horse tracks, including Scioto Downs. On July 20, 2009, an action was filed with the Ohio Supreme Court seeking a writ of mandamus to compel the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio to treat the video lottery provisions of the budget bill as subject to voter referendum, claiming that the video lottery provisions of the budget bill are subject to voter referendum because they constitute a permanent change in law that is not exempt from the referendum requirements contained in the Ohio constitution. On September 21, 2009, the Ohio Supreme Court issued an opinion finding that the video lottery provisions of the budget bill are subject to voter referendum. The Ohio Supreme Court also granted the plaintiffs an extension to December 20, 2009, to circulate a petition that, if signed by a sufficient number of voters, would give Ohio voters the right to overturn the provisions of the Ohio legislation permitting video lottery and effectively determine whether video gaming will be permitted at Ohio racetracks. The petition requires approximately 241,365 signatures to put the referendum on the ballot. If the necessary signatures are obtained to bring the measure to ballot, the vote on gaming at racetracks in Ohio is unlikely to occur prior to November 2010 and there can be no assurance that the requisite voter approval will be obtained or, if obtained, when gaming would commence at Scioto Downs. If the necessary signatures for the referendum petition are not obtained, the Ohio legislation enabling video lottery will remain effective, subject to the success of other pending challenges described below.

The Ohio legislation enabling video lottery is also subject to a lawsuit filed on September 3, 2009 asserting that expanded gaming activities at racetracks violates the Ohio constitution and requires voter approval. The lawsuit alleges that slot machines are not lottery games that were approved by Ohio voters in authorizing the lottery and that the allocation of profits between the state of Ohio and racetracks violates Ohio constitutional provisions earmarking lottery proceeds for education. The Ohio legislation enabling video lottery is also subject to a lawsuit filed on September 14, 2009 asserting, in addition to the assertions in the prior two lawsuits, that the legislation is unconstitutional, because, among other things, it violates the Ohio constitution's requirements that no bill shall contain more than one subject, that every bill shall be considered by each House on three different days, that the state's credit not be used in aid of any individual, association or corporation, and that all proceeds generated by the lottery be used to support education in the state. If the Ohio Supreme Court determines that video lottery at Ohio racetracks violates the Ohio constitution, such activities would not be permitted without an amendment to the Ohio constitution approved by voter referendum. There can be no assurance that gaming activities will commence in Ohio, that the pending challenges will be unsuccessful or, that if either or both of such challenges are successful, that an amendment to the Ohio constitution would be approved or, if approved, of the timing of such approval or commencement of gaming activities at Ohio racetracks.

We timely filed our application for licensing of video gaming at Scioto Downs on September 15, 2009. On September 16, 2009, we received from the Director of the Ohio Lottery Commission a Notice of Intent to Issue a Conditional or Video Lottery License and a demand that we pay the first of five \$13 million licensing fee installments by the close of business that day. Because of the uncertainty surrounding the pending lawsuits challenging video lottery gaming at the Ohio racetracks and the absence of a clear statement in Ohio law that the installment payments would be timely refunded in the event the legal challenges to video lottery were successful, five of the seven applicants, including Scioto Downs, did not pay the first installment of the license fee. On September 30, 2009, citing the fact that the Ohio Supreme Court's September 21, 2009 decision made it a practical impossibility to use video lottery revenue in the state's current budget, and his intention to seek a declaratory judgment that the Ohio Lottery can implement video lottery without legislative approval, the governor of Ohio

50

announced that the Ohio Lottery Commission would be returning all application fees and license fees previously paid by the state's racetrack owners.

On November 3, 2009, the voters of Ohio approved a proposal for a casino to be located in each of Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo, and Columbus. A casino in Columbus will increase competition at Scioto Downs. We intend to be proactive in our efforts to mitigate the effects of such competition, including continuing our efforts to introduce gaming at Scioto Downs as the Governor of Ohio has proposed, providing first-class customer service at all of our facilities, and further reducing our costs.

Even if gaming is permitted at Scioto Downs following the resolution of the pending litigation and satisfaction of any applicable voter referendum requirements, the recent passage of a referendum permitting casino gaming may materially adversely affect our ability to obtain financing to pay the license fees and otherwise make necessary investments at Scioto Downs to permit gaming and comply with the conditions to licensing. Additionally, tax rates on the revenue from such casinos would be substantially lower than the tax rates proposed by the Governor of Ohio to be imposed on video lottery at the racetracks, resulting in a competitive advantage for the casinos. Such new competition may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Through November 9, 2009, we spent approximately \$9.7 million in the aggregate opposing the November 3 referendum. See "Risk Factors—We face significant competition from other gaming and racing facilities, and increased competition could have a material adverse effect on us; recent passage of a referendum authorizing four casinos in Ohio will create significant new competition." We intend to lobby for lower licensing fees and taxes in light of the anticipated competition from casinos authorized by the November 3 referendum, but we cannot assure you that we will be successful.

In addition, the conditions applicable to slot gaming at Ohio racetracks are expected to require, if approved, the payment of a \$65.0 million license fee, an investment of \$80.0 million in the gaming facilities over a five year period and that the State of Ohio retain 50% of the revenues from video lottery terminals. We may also be required to share a portion of our revenues with horse owners and trainers at Scioto Downs. Accordingly, even if slot gaming is approved at Scioto Downs, we cannot assure you that the revenues generated from slot gaming at Scioto Downs will yield an adequate return on our investment or that we will be able to operate slot gaming at Scioto Downs profitably because of the significant investment required and the retention of revenues by the State of Ohio. Finally, we will require additional financing to, among other things, pay required license fees and fund the expansion and improvement of the Scioto Downs facilities to comply with licensing requirements and accommodate casino gaming. The indenture governing the notes, the indenture governing the Senior Subordinated Notes and the Amended and Restated Credit Facility will limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness and pay the required license fees. We would be required to seek the consent of the lenders under our Amended and Restated Credit Facility to incur the indebtedness necessary to fund our cash needs in connection with commencing slot gaming at Scioto Downs and to sell equity securities without repaying amounts outstanding under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. We cannot assure you that such lenders would grant the necessary consents. While the indentures for the Senior Secured Notes and the Senior Subordinated Notes, as recently amended, will allow us to incur indebtedness to fund development of future gaming operations, like slot gaming at Scioto Downs and table gaming in Pennsylvania, the indentures governing those notes require us to obtain equity financing in the amount of 40% and 50%, respectively, of the total financed costs in excess of the permitted indebtedness. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the necessary debt or equity financing on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITIES HOLDERS

The Company held its annual meeting of shareholders on July 28, 2009. The table below presents the matters submitted to a vote and the results of that vote.

(a) The following directors were elected by the following vote:

	FOR	WITHHELD
Jeffrey P. Jacobs	21,724,056	188,057
Robert A. Blatt	21,692,860	219,253
James V. Stanton	20,935,328	976,785
Richard Delatore	21,571,867	340,246
Steven M. Billick	21,575,548	336,565
Raymond K. Lee	21,580,269	331,844
Stanley R. Groom	21,568,230	343,883

(b) The proposal to confirm the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accountants for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009 was approved by the following vote:

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
21,790,840	88,373	32,900

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits	Item Title
4.1	Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 22, 2009, by and between the
	Registrant, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (as guarantors) and
	Wilmington Trust Company further supplementing the Indenture dated as of
	March 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K filed
	July 27, 2009).

- 4.2 Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 22, 2009, by and between the Registrant, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (as guarantors) and Wilmington Trust Company further supplementing the Indenture dated as of May 25, 2006 (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K filed July 27, 2009).
- 4.3 Indenture dated as of August 12, 2009, by and between the Company, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (as guarantors) and Wilmington Trust FSB (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K filed August 12, 2009).
- 10.1 Employment Agreement dated May 1, 2009, by and between the Registrant and Robert Norton (incorporated by reference to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 10, 2009).
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated October 1, 2009, by and between the Registrant and David R. Hughes (filed herewith).

10.3 Fifth Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of July 15, 2009, by and among the Registrant, Mountaineer Park, Inc., Presque Isle Downs, Inc. and Scioto Downs, Inc. (each a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Registrant), the Requisite Lenders (as defined in such Amendment) and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by

10.4 12.625% Senior Secured Note due 2014 in aggregate principle amount of \$10.0 million (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-k filed October 13, 2009).

reference to our report on Form 8-K filed July 27, 2009).

- 10.5 Seventh Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of October 13, 2009, by and among the Borrowers (as defined in such Amendment), Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and the Requisite Lenders (as defined in such Amendment) (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-k filed October 13, 2009).
- 10.6 Employment Agreement dated as of November 9, 2009, by and between the Registrant and John W. Bittner, Jr. (filed herewith).
- 31.1 Certification of Robert F. Griffin pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of David R. Hughes pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Robert F. Griffin pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.2 Certification of David R. Hughes pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 9, 2009

MTR GAMING GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ ROBERT F. GRIFFIN

Robert F. Griffin
PRESIDENT AND CHIEF
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

By: /s/ DAVID R. HUGHES

David R. Hughes

CORPORATE EXECUTIVE

VICE-PRESIDENT AND

CHIEF FINANCIAL

OFFICER

54

Exhibit Index

Item Title

Exhibits

- Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 22, 2009, by and between the Registrant, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (as guarantors) and Wilmington Trust Company further supplementing the Indenture dated as of March 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K filed July 27, 2009).
- 4.2 Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 22, 2009, by and between the Registrant, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (as guarantors) and Wilmington Trust Company further supplementing the Indenture dated as of May 25, 2006 (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K filed July 27, 2009).
- 4.3 Indenture dated as of August 12, 2009, by and between the Company, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (as guarantors) and Wilmington Trust FSB (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K filed August 12, 2009).
- 10.1 Employment Agreement dated May 1, 2009, by and between the Registrant and Robert Norton (incorporated by reference to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed August 10, 2009).
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated October 1, 2009, by and between the Registrant and David R. Hughes (filed herewith).
- 10.3 Fifth Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of July 15, 2009, by and among the Registrant, Mountaineer Park, Inc., Presque Isle Downs, Inc. and Scioto Downs, Inc. (each a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Registrant), the Requisite Lenders (as defined in such Amendment) and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K filed July 27, 2009).
- 10.4 12.625% Senior Secured Note due 2014 in aggregate principle amount of \$10.0 million (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-k filed October 13, 2009).
- 10.5 Seventh Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of October 13, 2009, by and among the Borrowers (as defined in such Amendment), Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and the Requisite Lenders (as defined in such Amendment) (incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-k filed October 13, 2009).
- 10.6 Employment Agreement dated as of November 9, 2009, by and between the Registrant and John W. Bittner, Jr. (filed herewith).
- 31.1 Certification of Robert F. Griffin pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of David R. Hughes pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Robert F. Griffin pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).

32.2 Certification of David R. Hughes pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).

55

Exhibit 10.2

AMENDED AND RESTATED EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

THIS AMENDED AND RESTATED EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT (this "<u>Agreement</u>") is made as of the 1st day of October, 2009, by and between MTR Gaming Group, Inc. ("<u>MTR</u>" or the "<u>Company</u>"), having an address of State Route 2 South, Chester, West Virginia 26034, and David R. Hughes, having an address of c/o MTR Gaming Group, Inc., State Route 2 South, Chester, WV 26034 ("<u>Executive</u>").

WHEREAS, Executive is an employee of the Company, serving as its Corporate Executive Vice President and CFO.

WHEREAS, the Company and Executive entered into an Employment Agreement effective May 15, 2008, which Employment Agreement has been amended from time to time (the "Original Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the Company wishes to continue to employ Executive and further wishes to enter into this Agreement with Executive in order to afford Executive certain long-term benefits as well as to reflect the terms and conditions of Executive's continued employment relationship to the Company.

NOW THEREFORE, the parties, in reliance upon the mutual promises and covenants herein contained, do hereby agree as follows:

- 1. <u>Term.</u> (a) The Company hereby agrees to continue to employ Executive, and Executive agrees to serve the Company, in the capacity indicated above from the date hereof to August 11, 2011 (the "<u>Term</u>"), on the terms and conditions set forth herein.
- (b) Commencing ninety (90) days prior to the end of the Term the parties will negotiate in good faith to extend the Term of this Agreement for at least one (1) additional year including reasonable compensation/benefits based upon Executive's contributions to the Company. If the parties are unable to reach an agreement to extend the Term within such 90 day period, then at the expiration of the Term Company shall pay to Executive all unpaid Base Salary (as hereinafter defined) and Discretionary Cash Bonus (as hereinafter defined) due and payable hereunder for the balance of the Term in cash (subject to income and employment tax withholdings) within ten (10) days after the date of the expiration of the Term. In addition, the Company shall pay Executive severance benefits of: (x) an amount equal to Executive's Base Salary (as hereafter defined) for the final year of the Term, payable in twelve (12) monthly installments commencing the first day of the calendar month next following the

end of the Term; (y) an amount equal to Executive's Discretionary Cash Bonus (as hereafter defined) determined by the highest amount of any Discretionary Cash Bonus declared during the Term or in the event such expiration occurs prior to the declaration of any Discretionary Cash Bonus, based on the minimum Discretionary Cash Bonus payable pursuant to Section 3(b) hereof), payable in twelve (12) monthly installments commencing the first day of the calendar month next following the end of the Term, and (z) a monthly amount so Executive, Executive's spouse and dependants will be able to continue to receive without interruption the health benefits they received during the Term until the earlier of August 11,2012, a date on which Executive accepts employment with or provides service to, in any capacity, any other business or entity in exchange for compensation. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, Section 4(c) hereof and not this Section 1(b) shall apply if a Change in Control (as hereinafter defined) occurs during the Term.

2. <u>Duties and Services</u>. During the Term, Executive agrees to serve the Company as its Corporate Executive Vice President and CFO of MTR Gaming, and in such other office of MTR and/or its Affiliates to which Executive may be elected or appointed, and to perform such other reasonable and appropriate duties as may be requested of Executive by the President and CEO of the Company (the "<u>CEO</u>"), in accordance with the terms herein set forth. Executive shall devote such of his/her time, energy and skill during regular business hours to the business and affairs of the Company and its Affiliates and to the promotion of their interests as is required. Executive shall report directly to and shall be subject to the direction of the Company's Chief Executive Officer. Executive shall perform those duties customarily performed by the Chief Financial Officer of a publicly traded corporation, including but not limited to assuming primary responsibility for the Company's reporting and disclosure requirements imposed by the Securities and Exchange Commission and Nasdaq.

3. Compensation.

- (a) <u>Base Salary</u>. The base salary of the Executive for services pursuant to the terms of this Agreement (the "<u>Base Salary</u>") shall be \$410,000 per year, payable in bi-monthly installments or on such other terms as may mutually be agreed upon by the Company and Executive. Executive's base salary shall be subject to an automatic cost-of-living increase of five percent (5%) on January 1st of each year of this Agreement, and shall be subject to periodic increase by the Company's Compensation Committee in its sole discretion.
- (b) <u>Discretionary Cash Bonus</u>. Executive shall be entitled to periodic cash bonuses of a minimum of 25% of Base Salary, payable on January 1st of each year of the Term with the ability to earn additional discretionary bonuses at the sole discretion of the Company's Compensation Committee (such minimum or higher amount, a "<u>Discretionary Cash</u> Bonus").

- (c) <u>Benefit Plans and Fringe Benefits</u>. Executive shall receive such employment fringe benefits and shall be entitled to participate in other employee benefit plans, including without limitation any health insurance, pension plan, profit-sharing plan, savings plan, life insurance and disability insurance plans and the like made available by the Company now or in the future to its executives, subject to and on a basis consistent with the terms, conditions and overall administration of such benefit plans.
- (d) <u>Long Term Incentives</u>. Executive shall participate in any and all of the Company's long term incentive plans and in any other incentive plan that the Company provides for its employees.
- (e) <u>Automobile Allowance</u>. During the Period of Employment, Executive shall be entitled to \$700 per month toward the lease or purchase, insurance and maintenance of an automobile.
- (f) <u>Vacation</u>. Executive shall be entitled to five (5) weeks of paid vacation annually to be taken at a time or times mutually satisfactory to Executive and the Company. Accrued vacation time not utilized by Executive due to business commitments may be carried over to the following year (provided, however, that Executive shall not in any event utilize more than six weeks of vacation in any twelve month period) or paid to Executive at the end of the year as additional compensation at Executive's election.
- hereunder, including the expenses associated with gaming licensing in any state in which the Company or one of its affiliates requests Executive to become licensed, shall be paid by the Company. The Company shall also provide Executive a Company cellular telephone, or, at the Company's election, reimburse Executive for the cost of a cellular phone and monthly service charges maintained by Executive. If any such expenses are paid in the first instance by Executive, the Company shall reimburse him/her therefore on presentation of the appropriate documentation, or otherwise required under the Company's policy with respect to such expenses.
- (h) <u>Working Facilities</u>. The Company shall provide Executive with an office, secretarial, administrative and other assistance, and such other facilities and services as shall be suitable to his position and appropriate for the performance of his duties.

4. Early Termination.

(a) <u>For Cause</u>. Executive may be discharged by the Company "For Cause" (as hereafter defined), in which event the Term shall cease and terminate and neither party shall have any further obligations or duties under this Agreement, except that the Company shall pay to Executive all unpaid Base

Salary and unpaid Discretionary Cash Bonus then due and payable hereunder, in cash (subject to income and employment tax withholdings) within thirty (30) days after the date of the early termination of the Term.

For purposes of this Section 4(a), "For Cause" shall mean: (i) Executor's conviction of a felony; (ii) Executor's embezzlement or misappropriation of funds or property of the Company or any of its affiliates (the "Affiliates"); (iii) Executive's consistent refusal to substantially perform, or willful misconduct in the substantial performance of, his duties and obligations hereunder; (iv) Executive's engaging in activity that the CEO determines in the CEO's reasonable judgment would result in the suspension or revocation of any video lottery, pari-mutuel, or other gaming license or permit held by MTR or any of its subsidiaries; or (v) a determination by any state gaming regulatory agency that Executive is not suitable to hold his position or otherwise to participate in a gaming enterprise in the state in question.

- (b) Death; Disability. The Term shall cease and terminate upon the earliest to occur of the following events: (i) the death of Executive, or (ii) at the election of the CEO (subject to the Americans With Disabilities Act), the inability of Executive by reason of physical or mental disability to continue the proper performance of his duties hereunder for a period of 180 consecutive days. Upon early termination of the Term as a result of the Executive's death or disability, and in consideration for Executive or his beneficiaries releasing the Company from any claims, damages or causes of action, the Company shall pay to Executive or his estate, as the case may be, an amount equal to the greater of (x) all unpaid Base Salary and any unpaid Discretionary Cash Bonus for the remainder of the Term (subject to income and employment tax withholdings), or (y) an amount equal to one times (100%) of Executive's then-applicable annual Base Salary (as hereafter defined) and Discretionary Cash Bonus in either event, payable in cash within ten (10) days after the date of such early termination of the Term.
- (c) Change in Control. If Executive's employment is terminated for any reason following a "Change in Control" (as hereafter defined), then upon such termination, the Company shall pay Executive severance benefits of an amount equal to two times (200%) Executive's then annual Base Salary, payable one-half (50%) in cash within thirty (30) days of the date Executive's employment terminates and the balance in twelve (12) equal monthly installments commencing the first day of the calendar month next following the termination of employment and a monthly amount so Executive, Executive's spouse and dependants will be able to continue to receive without interruption the health benefits they received during the Term of the Agreement until the earlier of the second anniversary of the date of Executive's termination of employment and the date on which Executive accepts employment with or provides service to, in any capacity, any other business or entity in exchange for compensation. Executive shall have no obligation to mitigate damages.

For purposes of this Agreement, "Change in Control" shall mean the occurrence of any of the following events: (i) an acquisition of any voting securities of Company by any person or group immediately after which such person or group has beneficial ownership of more than 50% of the combined voting power of Company's then outstanding voting securities; or (ii) the consummation of (A) a merger, consolidation or reorganization involving Company, unless the company resulting from such merger, consolidation or reorganization (the "Surviving Corporation") shall adopt or assume this Agreement and the stockholders of Company immediately before such merger, consolidation or reorganization, own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the Surviving Corporation in substantially the same proportion as their ownership immediately before such merger, consolidation or reorganization, (B) a complete liquidation or dissolution of Company, or (C) a sale or transfer of all or substantially all of the assets of Company.

- (d) Without Cause. In the event Executive is discharged by the Company for any reason not covered in Sections 4(a) through 4(c) hereof, in exchange for Executive releasing the Company from any claims, damages or causes of action, the Company shall pay to Executive (x) all unpaid Base Salary (as hereinafter defined) and any unpaid Discretionary Cash Bonus (as hereinafter defined) for the balance of the Term in cash (subject to income and employment tax withholdings) within ten (10) days after the date of termination employment. In addition, the Company shall pay Executive severance benefits of: (x) an amount equal to Executive's then-annual Base Salary, payable in twelve (12) equal monthly installments commencing the first day of the calendar month next following the termination of employment; (y) an amount equal to Executive's then-annual Discretionary Cash Bonus (determined by the highest amount of any Discretionary Cash Bonus declared during the Term, or, in the event such termination occurs prior to the declaration of any Discretionary Cash Bonus, based on the minimum Discretionary Cash Bonus payable pursuant to Section 3(b) hereof), payable in twelve (12) monthly installments commencing the first day of the first full calendar month following the termination of employment; and (z) a monthly amount so Executive, Executive's spouse and dependants will be able to continue to receive without interruption the health benefits they received during the Term of the Agreement until the earlier of the second anniversary of the date of Executive's and the date on which Executive accepts employment with or provides service to, in any capacity, any other business or entity in exchange for compensation. Executive shall have no obligation to mitigate damages.
- (e) <u>Executive's Termination for Good Reason</u>. Executive may terminate his employment for "Good Reason" upon 90 days' written notice to Company (which notice the Company may waive or reduce in time at its sole discretion), by resigning his employment with the Company. In the event of early termination of the Term pursuant to the preceding sentence, and in consideration

for Executive's or his heirs' and beneficiaries' releasing the Company from any claims, damages or causes of action, the Company shall then upon the early expiration of the Term, pay to Executive (x) all unpaid Base Salary (as hereinafter defined) and any unpaid Discretionary Cash Bonus (as hereinafter defined) for the balance of the Term, in cash (subject to income and employment tax withholdings) within ten (10) days after the date of termination employment. In addition, the Company shall pay Executive severance benefits of: (x) an amount equal to Executive's annual Base Salary, payable in twelve (12) monthly installments commencing the first day of the calendar month next following the termination of employment; (y) an amount equal to Executive's then applicable Discretionary Cash Bonus (as hereafter defined; and determined by dividing the highest amount of any incentive compensation paid to Executive in respect of the full year immediately preceding the date of termination, or in the event such termination occurs prior to the payment of any annual bonus, based on the minimum Discretionary Cash Bonus payable pursuant to Section 3(b) hereof), payable in twelve (12) monthly installments commencing the first day of the first full calendar month following the termination of employment, and (z) a monthly amount so Executive, Executive's spouse and dependants will be able to continue to receive without interruption the health benefits they received during the Term of the Agreement until the earlier of the second anniversary of the date of Executive's termination for good reason and the date on which Executive accepts employment with or provides service to, in any capacity, any other business or entity in exchange for compensation. Executive shall have no obligation to mitigate damages.

For the purposes of this Agreement, "Good Reason" exists if, without Executive's express written consent (except as contemplated by this Agreement or in connection with the termination For Cause, or under the circumstances described in Section 4(a) hereof), (i) the responsibilities of Executive are substantially reduced or altered, (ii) Executive's Base Salary is reduced without his consent, or (iii) Executive's offices are relocated anywhere other than within a fifty (50) mile radius of the Company's corporate headquarters in Wexford, Pennsylvania, or (iv) Robert Griffin ceases to serve as CEO of MTR, or (v) Executive's reporting line of authority is altered so he no longer reports directly to the CEO; provided, however, that if Executive terminates this Agreement for one or more of the reasons stated in clauses (i) or (ii), Employer shall have a period of thirty (30) business days after actual receipt written notice of Executive's assertion of Good Reason to cure the basis for such assertion, and, in the event of a cure (or the commencement of steps reasonably designed to result in prompts cure), the assertion of Good Reason shall be null and void and Executive's employment shall continue pursuant to the terms hereof. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the payment provisions of Section 4(c) hereof shall supersede the provisions of this Section 4(e), in the event that Executive terminates this Agreement for Good Reason following a Change in Control.

6

- (f) Executives Termination Without Good Reason. Executive shall have the right to terminate this Agreement without Good Reason for any reason upon sixty (60) days advance written notice to Company, following which neither party hereto shall have any further obligations or duties under this Agreement, except that the Company shall pay to Executive all unpaid Base Salary and unpaid Discretionary Cash Bonus then due and payable hereunder, in cash (subject to income and employment tax withholdings) within thirty (30) days after the date of the early termination of the Term.
- (g) IRC Section 409A. Because the parties hereto intend that any payment under this Agreement shall be paid in compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code ("Section 409A") and all regulations, guidance and other interpretative authority thereunder, such that there will be no adverse tax consequences, interest or penalties as a result of such payments, the parties hereby agree to modify the timing (but not the amount) of any payment hereunder to the extent necessary to comply with Section 409A and avoid application of any such taxes, penalties or interest thereunder. Consequently, notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, if Executive is a "specified employee" as defined in Section 409A, Executive shall not be entitled to any payments on the date of termination of his employment until the earlier of (i) the date which is six (6) months after the termination date for any reason other than death, or (ii) the date of Executive's death. Any amounts otherwise payable to Executive following the date of termination that are not so paid by reason of this Section 4(g) shall be paid immediately after the date that is six (6) months after the termination date (of, if earlier, the date of Executive's death). The provisions of this Section 4(g) shall only apply, if ,and to the extent required to comply with Section 409A in a manner that Executive is not subject to additional taxes and/or penalties under Section 409A.
- (h) IRC Section 4999. In the event that, as a result of payments to or for the benefit of Executive under this Agreement or otherwise in connection with a termination for Good Reason, any state, local or federal taxing authority imposes any taxes on Executive (other than regular income taxes) that would not be imposed but for the occurrence of a Change of Control, including any excise tax under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code and any successor or comparable provision, then, in addition to any benefits provided for under this Section 4, Employer (including any successor to Employer) shall pay to Executive at the time any such tax becomes payable an amount equal to the amount of any such tax imposed on Executive, plus taxes or additional income. For the avoidance of doubt, in the event that the Executive receives a

payment pursuant to this Section 4(h), the Employer shall not pay and/or reimburse the Executive for the regular income taxes imposed with respect to such payment.

7

5. Confidentiality and Non-Competition.

- The Company and Executive acknowledge that the services to be performed by Executive under this Agreement are unique and extraordinary and, as a result of such employment, Executive will be in possession of confidential information and trade secrets (collectively, "Confidential Material") relating to the business practices of the Company and its Affiliates. Executive agrees that he will not, directly or indirectly: (i) disclose to any other person or entity either during or after his employment by the Company; or (ii) use, except during his employment by the Company in the business and for the benefit of the Company or any of its Affiliates, any Confidential Material acquired by Executive during his employment by the Company, without the prior written consent of the Company or otherwise than as required by law or any rule or regulation of any federal or state authority. Upon termination of his employment with the Company for any reason, Executive agrees to return to the Company all tangible manifestations of Confidential Materials and all copies thereof, not to disparage the Company, and for a period of one year from the date of such termination not to solicit for employment or hire any employee of the Company. All programs, ideas, strategies, approaches, practices or inventions created, developed, obtained or conceived of by Executive during the term hereof by reason of his engagement by the Company, shall be owned by and belong exclusive to the Company, provided that they are related in any manner to the Company's business or that of any of its Affiliates. Executive shall: (i) promptly disclose all such programs, ideas, strategies, approaches, practices, inventions or business opportunities to the Company, and (ii) execute and deliver to the Company, without additional compensation, such instruments as the Company may require from time to time to evidence its ownership of any such items.
- (b) Executive agrees that during the Term he will not become a stockholder, director, officer, employee or agent of or consultant to any corporation, or member of or consultant to any partnership or other entity, or engage in any business as a sole proprietor or act as a consultant to any such entity, or otherwise engage, directly or indirectly, in any enterprise, in each case which competes with any business or activity engaged in, or known by Executive to be contemplated to be engaged in, by the Company or any of its Affiliates within one hundred (100) miles of any location in which the Company or any affiliates does business or in which Executive has knowledge that the Company or any of its Affiliates contemplates doing business; provided, however, that competition shall not include the ownership (solely as an investor and without any other participation in or contact with the management of the business) of less than five percent (5%) of the outstanding shares of stock of any corporation engaged in any such business, which shares are regularly traded on a national securities exchange or in an over-the-counter market.
- (c) Executive agrees that for a period of one (1) year from date of his separation from employment for any reason, other than in connection with a termination pursuant to Section 4(c), 4(d) and 4(e), he will not accept employment as an executive with any racetrack or casino within fifty (50) miles of

any racetrack or casino owned or operated by the Company or its affiliates; provided that, Executive's obligations under this Section 5 shall terminate upon a default by the Company of any of its payment obligations under this Agreement.

- (d) Executive agrees that the remedy at law for any breach by him of this Section 5 will be inadequate and that the Company shall be entitled to injunctive relief.
 - 6. General. This Agreement is further governed by the following provisions:
- (a) Notices. Any notice or other communication required or permitted to be given hereunder shall be made in writing and shall be delivered in person, by facsimile transmission or mailed by prepaid registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the parties at the address stated above or to such other address as either party shall have furnished in writing in accordance with this Section. Such notices or communications shall be effective upon delivery if delivered in person or by facsimile and either upon actual receipt or three (3) days after mailing, whichever is earlier, if delivered by mail.
- (b) <u>Parties in Interest</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon and insure to the benefit of Executive and his beneficiaries, and it shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Company and any corporation succeeding to all or substantially all of the business and assets of the Company by merger, consolidation, purchase of assets or otherwise.
- (c) <u>Arbitration</u>. Any disputes arising under the terms of the Agreement shall be settled by binding arbitration between the parties in the Wexford, Pennsylvania area in a proceeding held under the rules of the American Arbitration Association. In such proceeding, each party shall choose one arbitrator and the two so chosen shall choose a third arbitrator. The vote of two of the arbitrators shall be sufficient to determine an award. Arbitration proceedings shall be commenced within thirty (30) days from the date of the claimant's request for arbitration to the other party. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the arbitrators shall have no authority to grant either party any consequential, incidental, punitive or special damages.
- (d) <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement supersedes any and all other agreements, either oral or in writing, between the parties hereto with respect to the employment of Executive by the Company including the Original Agreement, and contains all of the covenants and agreements between the parties with respect to such employment in any manner whatsoever. Any modification of this Agreement will be effective only if it is in writing signed by the parties.

- (e) <u>Governing Law</u>. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania without giving effect to the choice of law or conflicts of law rules and laws of such jurisdiction.
- (f) <u>Severability</u>. In the event that any term or condition contained in this Agreement shall for any reason be held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, such invalidity, illegality or unenforceability shall not affect any other term or condition of this Agreement, but this Agreement shall be construed as if such invalid or illegal or unenforceable term or condition had never been contained herein.
- (g) <u>No Breach</u>. Executive warrants and represents to the Company that neither his entering nor performing this Agreement will violate the terms of any contract or covenant to which Executive is a party or by which he is bound.
- (h) <u>Indemnification</u>. The Company shall indemnify, defend and hold the Executive harmless, to the extent permitted by law, including the payment of reasonable attorneys' fees, if the Company does not directly provide Executive's defense, from and against any and all civil claims made by anyone, including, but not limited to, a corporate entity, company, other employee, agent, patron or member of the general public with respect to any claims that assert as a basis, any acts, omissions or other circumstances involving the performance of Executive's employment duties hereunder unless such claim is finally determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to arise from Executive's gross negligence or willful, intentional and/or wanton act.

[SIGNATURES TO APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]